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An investigation of interactions between hypocretin/orexin signaling and glutamate receptor surface expression in the rat nucleus accumbens under basal conditions and after cocaine exposure
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Abstract

Hypocretin peptides are critical for the effects of cocaine on excitatory synaptic strength in the ventral tegmental area (VTA). However, little is known about their role in cocaine-induced synaptic plasticity in the nucleus accumbens (NAc). First, we tested whether hypocretin-1 by itself could acutely modulate glutamate receptor surface expression in the NAc, given that hypocretin-1 in the VTA reproduces cocaine’s effects on glutamate transmission. We found no effect of hypocretin-1 infusion on AMPA or NMDA receptor surface expression in the NAc, measured by biotinylation, either 30 min or 3 h after the infusion. Second, we were interested in whether changes in hypocretin receptor-2 (Hcrtr-2) expression contribute to cocaine-induced plasticity in the NAc. As a first step towards addressing this question, Hcrtr-2 surface expression was compared in the NAc after withdrawal from extended-access self-administration of saline (control) versus cocaine. We found that surface Hcrtr-2 levels remain unchanged following 14, 25 or 48 days of withdrawal from cocaine, a time period in which high conductance GluA2-lacking AMPA receptors progressively emerge in the NAc. Overall, our results fail to support a role for hypocretins in acute modulation of glutamate receptor levels in the NAc or a role for altered Hcrtr-2 expression in withdrawal-dependent synaptic adaptations in the NAc following cocaine self-administration.
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Introduction

The hypocretin/orexin system was co-discovered by 2 independent groups during the late 1990s (1,2). It is composed of 2 neuropeptides, hypocretin-1/orexin-A and hypocretin-2/
orexin-B (1,2), which bind to 2 G-protein-coupled receptors, hypocretin/orexin receptor-1 and hypocretin/orexin receptor-2 (2). Hypocretin receptor-2 (Hcrtr-2) binds with equal affinity to both peptides, whereas hypocretin receptor-1 (Hcrtr-1) binds with 100–1000 fold higher affinity to hypocretin-1 (2). In the CNS, hypocretin peptides are exclusively localized in a subregion of the hypothalamus, including the lateral, perifornical and dorsomedial hypothalamus (1,2). Nevertheless, they project widely throughout the brain (3), including brain areas associated with drug reward and addiction, such as the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and the nucleus accumbens (NAc) (3–5). Indeed, although initially hypocretins were described as regulators of food intake and sleep homeostasis (2,6), evidence has accumulated over the last decade to support an important role in drug addiction (7,8).

The modulation of cocaine-induced synaptic plasticity is one mechanism by which hypocretins might influence cocaine addiction (9). Indeed, bath application of hypocretin-1 to VTA-containing slices mimicked cocaine’s effects on synaptic plasticity (10). Thus, similar to cocaine (11), hypocretin-1 increased the AMPA/NMDA ratio in VTA dopamine (DA) neurons (10). Moreover, the Hcrtr-1 antagonist SB334867 blocked the enhancement of the AMPA/NMDA ratio in rats receiving a sensitizing regimen of cocaine (10).

Interestingly, the same antagonist administered systemically or into the VTA also prevented the acquisition of cocaine-elicited locomotor sensitization (10), which indicates that Hcrtr-1 activation in VTA DA neurons is necessary for the development of behavioral sensitization to cocaine, possibly through the facilitation of synaptic plasticity mechanisms.

Hcrtr-1 signaling has also been implicated in the reinstatement of previously extinguished cocaine-seeking. Thus, intracerebral (12) or intra-VTA (13) infusion of hypocretin-1 elicited reinstatement of previously extinguished cocaine-seeking in rats. Conversely, Hcrtr-1 antagonists reduced stress- (12,14), cue- (15,16), and context- (17), but not cocaine-primed (14, 16,18,19), reinstatement of extinguished cocaine-seeking. In the case of cue-induced reinstatement (19,20), but not stress- (13) or cocaine-primed (19) reinstatement, Hcrtr-1 antagonists were effective when infused directly into the VTA.

Although hypocretin-glutamate receptor interactions occur in the dorsal striatum of young animals (21) (see Discussion), it is unknown whether hypocretins acutely regulate glutamate receptor surface expression in the NAc or whether cocaine self-administration alters hypocretin receptor expression in the NAc. To address these distinct questions, we performed 2 studies. First, given that hypocretin-1 signaling is sufficient to increase AMPA/NMDA ratios in the VTA (10) and striatum (21), we determined if hypocretin-1 infusion into the NAc of drug-naive rats altered AMPAR or NMDA receptor (NMDAR) surface expression. Second, we analyzed surface expression of Hcrtr-2, the main hypocretin receptor subtype in the NAc (22–25), in the ‘incubation of cocaine craving’ model. Incubation refers to the progressive enhancement of cue-induced cocaine-seeking that occurs during withdrawal from extended-access cocaine self-administration in rodents (26). It relies on glutamate plasticity in the NAc, namely a delayed but persistent increase in high conductance GluA2-lacking, Ca⁡²⁺-permeable AMPARs (CP-AMPARs) that strengthens baseline excitatory synaptic transmission onto NAc medium spiny neurons (27–29). Once CP-AMPARs have accumulated in the NAc, their activation is required for expression of incubation (27). To determine if Hcrtr-2 function is altered in conjunction with this plasticity, we measured Hcrtr-2 surface expression at withdrawal times bracketing the emergence of CP-AMPARs (29). Cue-induced cocaine seeking also increases over this time period (26).
Materials and methods

Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (270–320g) were housed (3/cage) on a 12h/12h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7AM) with food and water available ad libitum. Rats acclimated for 7 days prior to use. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Rosalind Franklin University of Medicine and Science.

Intra-accumbens injections of hypocretin-1

Rats were anesthetized with ketamine-xylazine (80 and 10mg/kg, respectively, i.p.) and mounted onto a stereotaxic frame. A Hamilton microsyringe was slowly lowered, and hypocretin-1 [Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; 3μg/0.5μl, dissolved in artificial cerebrospinal fluid solution (aCSF)] was unilaterally injected into the NAc (0.1μl/min). The other hemisphere served as a non-injected control. Injectors were left in place for 2 min after the injection. The dose of hypocretin-1 selected for our study has been previously shown to produce addiction-like effects (12,30,31) without the appearance of side effects related to other functions of the hypocretin system, such as regulation of arousal. Coordinates were: anteroposterior +1.2 mm; mediolateral ±2.6 mm (6° angle); dorsoventral −7.0 mm (32). Rats were decapitated 30 min or 3 h post-injection. Hypocretin-1 was selected because it enhances post-synaptic glutamatergic transmission in VTA (10) and striatum (21) whereas hypocretin-2’s role in synaptic plasticity is less clear (33).

Cocaine self-administration

As previously described (27), rats self-administered cocaine under a fixed-ratio 1 schedule in daily 6 h sessions on 10 consecutive days. Nose-poking in the active hole delivered an intravenous infusion of saline or cocaine (0.5mg/kg/100μl over 3s), paired with a 30s light cue inside the hole. Nose-poking in the inactive hole had no consequences. After the last session, rats were returned to home cages for withdrawal.

Biotinylation and immunoblotting

Rats were decapitated 30 min or 3 h after intra-accumbens injection of hypocretin-1 or withdrawal day 14, 25 or 48 following cocaine self-administration. The NAc (core plus lateral shell) was dissected from a 2mm coronal section obtained using a brain matrix. During the dissection, hypocretin-1 injection sites were verified to be located within the NAc. NAc tissue was minced and biotinylated as described previously (34). To recover biotinylated proteins, an aliquot of each NAc sample (100μg) was added to 37.5μl of NeutrAvidin Agarose beads (Thermo Scientific) and incubated overnight at 4°C on an end-over-end rotator. Bound proteins were isolated from the non-biotinylated (unbound) fraction by centrifugation (3000 RPM, 1 min) and washed several times in ice-cold lysis buffer (34). The biotinylated fraction was then dissolved in 2X Laemmli sample buffer with DTT (100mM) and the process was repeated to ensure complete separation of bound/unbound fractions. Bound fractions were pooled and heated at 97°C for 3 min to release the biotinylated proteins from the beads. Samples were spun (10000 RPM, 5 min) on a centrifugal filter unit (0.45mm, #UFC30HV00, Millipore, Billerica, MA) to remove the beads and stored at −20°C. After SDS-PAGE and transfer to membranes (34), blots were incubated with the following primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C: GluA1 (1308-1, 1:1000; Epitomics, Inc, CA, USA), GluA2 (75-002, 1:200; UC Davis/NINDS/NIMH NeuroMab Facility, CA, USA), GluA3 (3437, 1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc, Danvers, MA), GluN1 (NB300-118, 1:1000; Novus Biologicals), GluN2A (sc-1468, 1:2000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc), GluN2B (454582, 1:2000; Calbiochem), and Hcrtr-2 (OX2R21-A, 1:500, Alpha Diagnostic, San Antonio, TX). Immunoblots were processed using
chemiluminescence (ECL) detecting substrate (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) and analyzed with Total Lab software (Life Sciences Analysis Essentials) (34).

**Statistical analysis**

Paired Student’s *t* tests were used to compare surface AMPAR and NMDAR subunit levels between hypocretin-injected and non-injected hemispheres. Surface Hcrtr-2 levels were compared between saline and cocaine groups using unpaired Student’s *t* tests. Significance was set at *p*<0.05.

**Results**

**Hypocretin-1 infusion into the NAc does not influence AMPAR or NMDAR surface expression**

To evaluate possible effects of hypocretin-1 on glutamate receptor surface expression, unilateral injections of hypocretin-1 were made directly into the NAc (3 μg/0.5 μl). The contralateral hemisphere was used as a non-injected control. We did not use vehicle controls because we showed previously that vehicle injection does not alter glutamate receptor surface expression in the NAc (35). Based on the time course of hypocretin-1’s effects in VTA (10), rats were killed either 30 min or 3 h after the infusion. NAc tissue (core plus shell) was dissected to measure glutamate receptor surface expression using biotinylation. No changes in NMDAR (GluN1, GluN2A, GluN2B) or AMPAR (GluA1-3) surface expression were observed at early (30 min; Fig. 1) or late (3 h; Fig. 2) time-points, suggesting that hypocretin-1 does not acutely regulate glutamate receptor surface expression in the NAc.

**Hypocretin receptor-2 surface expression levels are not modified in the NAc during the incubation of cocaine craving**

The incubation of cocaine-craving is associated with a delayed but persistent increase in CP-AMPAR levels in the NAc (27–29,34). To investigate the potential role of hypocretin transmission in this cocaine-induced plasticity, we compared surface expression of Hcrtr-2, the main receptor subtype in the NAc (22–25), at 3 time-points after discontinuing saline or cocaine self-administration: withdrawal day 14, when CP-AMPAR levels have not yet increased above the low levels present in drug-naïve rats or saline controls; withdrawal day 25, when CP-AMPARs are emerging; and withdrawal day 48, when CP-AMPARs are maximally expressed and mediate the expression of ‘incubated’ cue-induced cocaine-seeking (27,29). We failed to observe any significant difference in Hcrtr-2 surface expression between cocaine and saline groups at any time-point (Fig. 3). The antibody detected a band of 52 KDa, in agreement with the molecular weight predicted in the Uniprot database (http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P56719). These results indicate that Hcrtr-2 surface expression levels are not modified during the emergence of changes in excitatory synaptic transmission in the NAc during incubation of cocaine craving.

**Discussion**

Amassing evidence indicates that the compulsive nature of cocaine addiction together with the enduring vulnerability to relapse arises from long-term synaptic adaptations in the mesolimbic reward system, including the VTA and the NAc (36,37). In the VTA, this plasticity is modulated by hypocretin transmission (9), motivating us to perform two distinct experiments to examine interactions between hypocretin and glutamate systems in the NAc. First, we found that intra-accumbal infusion of hypocretin-1 (which activates both hypocretin-1 and hypocretin-2 receptors) did not alter NMDAR or AMPAR surface expression in the NAc. Second, we found that surface expression of Hcrtr-2, the main
hypocretin receptor subtype in the NAc, was not altered during a period of withdrawal from extended-access cocaine self-administration that is associated with marked plasticity of excitatory synaptic transmission. While our results do not rule out functional interactions between cocaine, glutamate transmission, and hypocretin transmission in the NAc, they point to marked differences from interactions described previously in the VTA (see below).

It is well established that a single systemic injection of cocaine produces potentiation of excitatory synapses onto VTA DA neurons (indicated by an increased AMPA/NMDA ratio) that is detected as early as 3 h after injection (11,38). This effect is thought to be achieved through a rapid enhancement of NMDAR transmission (minutes) that enables the subsequent potentiation of AMPAR transmission at 3 h (38). This cocaine-induced synaptic potentiation in the VTA is blocked by an Hcrtr-1 antagonist, while bath application of hypocretin-1 onto VTA-containing slices from drug-naïve rats mimics the effects of cocaine (rapid enhancement of NMDAR transmission and a later increase in the AMPA/NMDA ratio) (10). The latter result demonstrates that activation of Hcrtr-1 transmission is sufficient to elicit plasticity in the VTA of drug-naïve rats. In contrast with these findings, we found that infusion of hypocretin-1 into the NAc of drug-naïve rats does not modify surface expression of NMDAR and AMPAR subunits over the same time-frame studied in the VTA (30 min or 3 h). Possible explanations for different outcomes in NAc versus VTA are described at the end of the Discussion. It should be noted that brief hypocretin exposure increased AMPAR surface expression in cultures of embryonic dorsal striatal neurons and produced a delayed increase in the AMPA/NMDA ratio in dorsal striatal neurons in slices from juvenile rats (21). The difference between these results and ours could reflect age-related differences in plasticity mechanisms and/or differences between dorsal striatum and NAc.

Although the role of hypocretin signaling in mediating effects of cocaine and other reinforcers has been studied extensively in recent years (8,9,39), only one prior study has examined whether cocaine exposure alters hypocretin receptor expression (40). This study measured Hcrtr-1 and Hcrtr-2 expression in homogenates from several brain regions after withdrawal from a repeated cocaine regimen that led to behavioral sensitization (20 mg/kg, i.p., for 5 days). In dorsal striatum, hippocampus and VTA, no changes in Hcrtr-2 levels were observed (40). In the NAc, however, Hcrtr-2 levels were increased after 1, 14, 28 or 60 days of withdrawal, whereas levels of Hcrtr-1 (as well as hypocretin-1 and -2) were unchanged (40). These results demonstrate that non-contingent cocaine increases Hcrtr-2 expression in the NAc. However, non-contingent and contingent cocaine administration can produce different neuroadaptations throughout the reward system (41) and specifically in the NAc (34,42). Therefore, we extended prior results (40) by examining Hcrtr-2 surface expression in the NAc after extended-access cocaine self-administration (6 h/day × 10 days). We selected an extended-access regimen because withdrawal from such regimens leads to robust behavioral plasticity (incubation of cue-induced cocaine craving; 26) and robust synaptic plasticity in the NAc (CP-AMPAR accumulation; 27–29,34). More generally, extended-access regimens produce behavioral changes that model the compulsive drug-seeking and -taking characteristic of addiction (43,44). However, we failed to observe any change in Hcrtr-2 surface expression in the NAc after cocaine self-administration despite the fact that we examined 3 withdrawal days (14, 25 and 48) that encompass the time-course of CP-AMPAR plasticity during incubation.

In summary, our first study found that hypocretin infusion does not acutely alter NMDAR or AMPAR surface expression in the NAc, while our study of cocaine withdrawal indicates that a robust regimen of cocaine exposure that is associated with marked synaptic plasticity fails to alter Hcrtr-2 surface expression. Why does the role of hypocretin appear to differ so markedly between the VTA and NAc? Notably, the NAc receives far less hypocretin...
projections than the VTA. Hence, scarce to moderate hypocretin-containing fibers have been identified in the NAc (3,4), and these remain restricted to its caudal and medial portions (4). On the other hand, the VTA receives moderate to strong inputs from hypocretin neurons (3–5), consistent with amassing behavioral and biochemical evidence identifying the VTA as a brain area subjected to hypocretin control in drug addictive processes (8,39). Additionally, and contrasting with the NAc, both hypocretin receptor subtypes (Hcrtr-1 and Hcrtr-2) have been identified within the VTA, whereas the NAc contains mainly Hcrtr-2 (22–25).

Hypocretin receptors might exhibit functional dichotomy, with Hcrtr-1 having a more prominent role in reward processing and Hcrtr-2 being more connected to maintenance of arousal (39,45). In line with this idea, Hcrtr-1 modulates cocaine-induced plasticity in VTA DA neurons (10), while the role of Hcrtr-2 in VTA synaptic plasticity seems to be more complex and is not completely understood (33). Similarly, a synergistic interaction between Hcrtr-1 and AMPARs in the VTA plays a key role in cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking (19), consistent with evidence that cue-induced reinstatement is dependent on Hcrtr-1, but not Hcrtr-2, signaling (15). Taken together, these studies suggest that different roles of hypocretin signaling in the NAc and VTA may not be surprising.
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1. Intra-NAc hypocretin-1 does not modify AMPA or NMDA receptor surface expression
2. Surface Hcrtr-2 in NAc is unchanged during AMPAR plasticity in cocaine withdrawal
3. Hypocretins may not contribute to basal or cocaine-induced plasticity in the NAc
**Figure 1.**

Hypocretin-1 infusion into the NAc of drug-naïve rats does not modify glutamate receptor surface expression 30 min later. After unilateral infusion of hypocretin-1, NAc tissue was dissected from infused (I) and non-infused (N) hemispheres and biotinylated. Surface-expressed AMPAR (A–C) and NMDAR receptor subunits (D–F) were quantified by immunoblotting.
Figure 2. Hypocretin-1 infusion into the NAc of drug-naïve rats does not modify glutamate receptor surface expression 3 hours later. After unilateral infusion of hypocretin-1, NAc tissue was dissected from infused (I) and non-infused (N) hemispheres and biotinylated. Surface-expressed AMPAR (A–C) and NMDAR receptor subunits (D–F) were quantified by immunoblotting.
Figure 3.
Hypocretin receptor-2 (Hcrtr-2) surface expression in the NAc is not altered after (A) 14, (B) 25 or (C) 48 days of withdrawal from extended-access cocaine self-administration. Surface-expressed Hcrtr-2 was quantified by biotinylation in cocaine (C) and saline (S) exposed rats. WD, withdrawal day.