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ABSTRACT: Surface erosion has been recognized as a valuable design tool for resorbable biomaterials within the context of drug delivery devices, surface coatings, and when precise control of strength retention is critical. Here we report on high tensile strength, aromatic–aliphatic polycarbonates based on natural phenols, tyrosol (Ty) and homovanillic alcohol (Hva), that exhibit enzymatic surface erosion by lipase. The Young’s moduli of the polymers for dry and fully hydrated samples are 1.0 to 1.2 GPa and 0.8 to 1.2 GPa, respectively. Typical characteristics of enzymatic surface erosion were confirmed for poly(tyrosol carbonate) films with concomitant mass-loss and thickness-loss at linear rates of 0.14 ± 0.01 mg cm⁻² d⁻¹ and 3.0 ± 0.8 μm d⁻¹, respectively. The molecular weight and the mechanical properties of the residual films remained constant. Changing the ratio of Ty and Hva provided control over the glass transition temperature (Tg) and the enzymatic surface erosion: increasing the Hva content in the polymers resulted in higher Tg and lower enzymatic erosion rate. Polymers with more than 50 mol % Hva were stable at 37 °C in enzyme solution. Analysis on thin films using quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation (QCM-D) demonstrated that the onset temperature of the enzymatic erosion was approximately 20 °C lower than the wet Tg for all tested polymers. This new finding demonstrates that relatively high tensile strength polycarbonates can undergo enzymatic surface erosion. Moreover, it also sheds light on the connection between Tg and enzymatic degradation and explains why few of the high strength polymers follow an enzyme-mediated degradation pathway.

INTRODUCTION

Surface eroding polymers as compared to bulk eroding polymers have distinct advantages for the design of resorbable medical implants.† Typically, during bulk erosion a decrease in molecular weight of the polymer occurs before any mass-loss is observed. This leads to unfavorable changes in polymer characteristics such as diminishing mechanical strength and lack of control over long-term drug release. By contrast, surface erosion leads to mass-loss with only negligible molecular weight decrease throughout the bulk of the polymer. Surface erosion is advantageous in applications requiring a controlled retention of mechanical properties during degradation and in drug delivery applications where the rate of drug release can be controlled by the erosion of surface layers of the polymeric matrix. However, hydrolytic surface erosion is observed only when the rate of polymer degradation is faster than the rate of water penetration into the bulk of the polymer.‡,³ Hence, for small medical implants, hydrolytic surface erosion is limited to extremely fast degrading polymers such as some polyalkyldienes and some poly(ortho esters).⁴ In the clinic, a surface eroding device (Gladel) made from polyalkyldienes is used to release a chemotherapeutic agent in the brain over 2–3 weeks.⁵ Most degradable polymers used in the design of medical and drug release devices are materials such as polyesters that invariably undergo bulk erosion.⁶ Interestingly, Pitt et al. reported that poly(trimethylene carbonate) (PTMC), an aliphatic polycarbonate, showed surface erosion behavior in vivo, while the hydrolytic degradation was slow in vitro.⁷ It was later demonstrated that PTMC underwent enzymatic degradation by hydrolytic enzymes in vitro, mimicking surface erosion characteristics found in vivo.⁸ Hence, hydrolytic enzymes are likely to play a significant role in the degradation of PTMC. Further, the involvement of reactive oxygen species in the erosion of aliphatic polycarbonates was recently suggested by Amsden et al., similar to previous findings by Anderson et al. in poly(carbonate urethane)s.⁹–¹¹ Recent studies have evaluated the suitability of devices from surface eroding, aliphatic polycarbonates for antibiotic delivery, and implantation in a soft tissue environment for vascular and cardiac tissue engineering.¹²–¹⁵ Since the material properties of these aliphatic polycarbonates are characterized as flexible and rubbery (Tg lower than 37 °C), it was previously postulated that...
enzymatic surface erosion requires a flexible polymer backbone that
can comply with the enzyme’s active site. Therefore, it is accepted
that aromatic polycarbonates and most other currently available
biodegradable polymers with Young’s moduli in the GPa range are
not susceptible to enzymatic surface erosion, even though amorphous poly(lactic acid) is degradable by Protease K. Likewise, a wide range of tyrosine-derived polycarbonates were
extensively studied by Kohn et al., but were not found to degrade
by enzyme-mediated processes. Heretofore, few efforts have been
made to discover new polymers of high strength that undergo
enzymatic surface erosion.

In this contribution, we report on the preparation and
colorization of a series of aromatic–aliphatic polycarbonates
based on tyrosol and homovanillyl alcohol. Both
monomers are readily available from natural resources such as
olive oil mill waste waters and products of fermentation
processes. Tyrosol and hydroxytyrosol are assessed as
GRAS (generally recognized as safe) substances by the FDA.
Homovanillyl alcohol is a metabolite of hydroxytyrosol and has
demonstrated the connection between
amorphous poly(lactic acid) and the polycarbonates based on tyrosol and
homovanillyl alcohol with $T_g$ below 60 °C can undergo surface erosion,
while most of the other high tensile strength polymers
with significantly higher $T_g$ cannot.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### Materials

All chemicals used were reagent grade or better. Tyrosol
(Ty), homovanillyl alcohol (Hva), bis(trichloromethyl) carbonate
(triphosgene), dichloromethane, 2-propanol, hexane, deuterated
dimethyl sulfoxide (d6-DMSO), tetrahydrofuran (THF), trifluoro-
acetic acid (TFA), phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and lipase from
Thermomyces lanuginosus (EC 3.1.1.3, minimum 10 000 units g−1) were
obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Pyridine, hexane and
N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) were obtained from Fisher Scientific
(Pittsburgh, PA).

### Polymer Synthesis and Characterization

**CAUTION:** Triphos-
gene used in the following procedure is a hazardous material. Triphosgene can
release deadly phosgene, a gas that can be lethal before it can be
recognized by its smell. All procedures using triphosgene need to be performed in a
closed fume hood and under supervision of an experienced and well-trained operator. A monitor and alarm system for accidental exposure to phosgene is required.

Polycarbonates were synthesized by condensation polymerization using Ty and Hva as monomers in dichloromethane and pyridine with triphosgene as a phosgene source. The polymers were purified by repeated precipitation in 2-propanol and dissolution in dichloromethane. The chemical composition was confirmed by analysis of $^{1}H$ and decoupled $^{13}C$ nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (500 MHz
NMR, Varian, U.S.A.). d6-DMSO was used as solvent and all spectra were referenced to the residual DMSO signal. The number and weight average molecular weights ($M_n$ and $M_w$) of the copolymers were determined relative to polystyrene standards using gel permeation chromatography (GPC, Waters, Milford, MA) equipped with two PL gel columns of 100 000 and 1000 Å (Polymer Laboratories, Amherst, MA). DMF with 0.1% TFA was applied as eluting solvent. Thermal

### Water Uptake

Specimens from compression-molded films, cut to
a sample size of approximately 10 mg, were incubated in PBS at 37 °C
until the equilibrium water uptake was reached. The specimens were
blotted with paper and immediately subjected to thermogravimetric
analysis (TGA, Mettler-Toledo, Columbus, OH). Specimens were
heated from 25 to 150 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min−1.

### Mechanical Properties

Mechanical properties were characterized using a mechanical tensile testing apparatus equipped with a 10 N
submersible load cell (Bose Electroforce, Eden Prairie, MN). Rectangular-shaped specimens were cut to a width of 2 mm from compression-molded films approximately 400 μm thick. The initial
grip-to-grip separation was 8 mm and the maximal strain was 150% due to instrument limitation. The crosshead speed was 0.1 mm s−1. Mechanical properties in the dry state were determined at room temperature. To measure the mechanical properties in the wet state, specimens were preconditioned in PBS at 37 °C for 24 h, and then
immersed in PBS at 37 °C for testing. To follow the mechanical properties during degradation (see below), specimens were incubated in lipase solution as well as in PBS (as control) and retrieved at respective time
points. The tensile tests were performed in the wet state. The tensile modulus was determined from the initial, linear part
of the stress–strain curve, using the grip-to-grip distance to measure elongation. The stress and strain at yield ($\sigma_{\text{yield}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{yield}}$) values were determined from the upper yield point. The analyses were performed in
triplicate.

### Spincasting of Polymer Thin Films

Polymer thin films were prepared by spincasting from 1–1.25% (w/v) polymer solution in dry
dioxane at 3000 rpm using a spin-coater (Headway Research, Garland, TX) in a humidity-controlled atmosphere (less than 10% relative humidity). For contact angle measurements 15 mm glass coverslips (Fisher Scientific Pittsburgh, PA) and for QCM-D gold-coated quartz
crystal QXS100 (Q-sense, Glen Burnie, MD) were used as substrates. The samples were dried in vacuo for at least 12 h.

### Static Contact Angle Measurement

To measure the contact angle, a drop of water was applied to the polymer-coated surface and the static contact angle was determined using a goniometer (Rame-
Hart, Succasunna, NJ) with at least five independent measurements per composition.

### Degradation Experiments

Polymer discs with a diameter of 6 mm and thickness of approximately 250 μm were immersed in 3 mL of lipase solution from Thermomyces lanuginosus as a model enzyme with an activity of 5 kU/ml. For control experiments, specimens were immersed in PBS. All solutions contained 0.02% (w/w) of sodium azide to prevent bacterial growth. The incubation temperature was 37 °C and the solutions were replaced twice per week. Samples in triplicate were removed at respective time points and rinsed with
deionized water and 70% (v/v) ethanol. The mass and thickness of the samples were measured after drying in vacuo for 72 h at ambient temperature.

### Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Dried specimens subjected to degradation media or PBS control were sputter coated (SCD 004, Leica Microsystems, Liechtenstein) with gold/palladium, and then the morphology of the specimens was studied by SEM
(1830I, Amray, USA, Voltage = 20 kV).

### Quartz Crystal Microbalance with Dissipation (QCM-D)

In a Q-sense E4 (Q-sense, Glen Burnie, MD), the surfaces of sensor crystals coated with polymer thin films were equilibrated with PBS buffer at 24 μL min−1. A temperature program was executed between 20 to 49 °C with lipase (or PBS control) preadsorbed at 20 °C. The interval time between temperature steps was 30 min while the
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frequency was recorded for overtones $n = 3, 5, 7, 9$. The frequency change ($\Delta f$) and the dissipation change were recorded over time. The change of mass per area was obtained using the Sauerbrey equation with $\Delta m = -CAf_0^2$ ($C = 17.7$ $ng$ $cm^{-2}$ $s^{-1}$ $Hz^{-1}$) with negligible dissipation changes during erosion.26 The rate of mass-loss for each temperature step was obtained by linear regression after equilibration.

**Attachment and Proliferation of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSCs) on Polymer Films.** Bone marrow derived hMSCs of passage numbers between 2 and 5 (Texas A&M University, College Station, TX) were cultured in MSC basal medium supplemented with SingleQuot’s, (Lonza, Walkersville, MD). Compression-molded discs (approximate thickness $= 250 \mu m$) of (co)polymers from Ty and Hva were cut to fit wells of a 48-well tissue culture polystyrene (TCPs) plate (Corning, Corning, NY). Cells were seeded at a density of $5 \times 10^3$ cells $cm^{-2}$. The hMSCs were cultured at $37 °C$ in an incubator supplemented with $5\%$ (v/v) of CO$_2$. Cell viability and proliferation were evaluated at time points of 4 h, 4 days, and 7 days. For qualitative fluorescence microscopy imaging, cells were fixed with $4\%$ (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then permeabilized with 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100 for 3 min. Staining was conducted using Alexa Fluor 488 paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then permeabilized with 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100 for 3 min. Staining was conducted using Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin for 20 min and Hoechst for 5 min. For quantification of viability, the cell culture medium containing 10% vol. of AlamarBlue reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was added to wells of live cells after a buffer rinse.27 The fluorescence of the supernatant was measured after 4 h of incubation ($k_{ex} = 560$ nm, $k_{em} = 590$ nm). Total cell count on polymer substrates was calculated for each time point by comparing fluorescence readouts against a standard curve of known cell numbers. Three independent experiments were carried out ($n = 3$) with three replicates for each condition.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis and Chemical Structure.** A series of polycarbonates from tyrosol (Ty) and homovanillyl alcohol (Hva) (Figure 1A–C) was prepared by condensation polymerization using triphosgene with Ty content of 100, 90, 75, 50, and 0 mol% in the feed. The polymer composition respective to Ty and Hva was confirmed using $^1H$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure S1: $^1H$ NMR spectra, Supporting Information). As illustrated in Figure 1 D, the backbone structure featured sequence isomers with diaryl (head-to-head, h/h), dialkyl (tail-to-tail, t/t) and aryl alkyl (head-to-tail, h/t) carbonates (Table 1: $^1H$ NMR annotations). Chemical shifts of protons in head-to-head, tail-to-tail isomers (aromatic: a1 and b1, aliphatic: d1 and e1) can be distinguished from those in head-to-tail isomers at corresponding positions (aromatic: a2 and b2, aliphatic: d2 and e2) for Ty and Hva. Additional protons exclusive to Hva units are annotated accordingly (aromatic: c1 and c2, methoxy: f1 and f2). The ratios of h/h:t/t shifted from 1:1:1.3 in poly(tyrosol carbonate) to 1:1:2.8 in poly(Hva carbonate) (Table S1: integral ratios in $^1H$ NMR, Supporting Information). We expected dramatic effects of the compositional changes from Ty to Hva and the backbone structure on the properties of these aromatic–aliphatic polycarbonates.

**Physical Properties.** The physical properties in the series of polycarbonates from Ty and Hva are listed in Table 2. All polymers were of high molecular weight with $M_n$ values ranging from 117 to $227 \times 10^3$ g mol$^{-1}$. In the dry state, the $T_g$ increased with the content of Hva in the composition from

---

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of (A) tyrosol, (B) homovanillyl alcohol, (C) poly(tyrosol-co-x%homovanillyl carbonate), and (D) polymer sequence isomers at carbonate bond: head-to-head, tail-to-tail, head-to-tail; notations of respective $^1H$ NMR assignments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Chemical Shift Assignment from $^1H$-NMR Spectra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>head-to-head (diaryl)/tail-to-tail (dialkyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a1 (aryl, Ty)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a1 (aryl, Hva)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b1 (aryl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c1 (aryl, Hva)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d1 (alkyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e1 (alkyl)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f1 (methoxy, Hva)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$s = $ singlet, $m = $ multiplet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Physical Properties of Polycarbonates from Ty and Hva</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>poly(Hva carbonate) (Table S1: integral ratios in $^1H$ NMR, Supporting Information). We expected dramatic effects of the compositional changes from Ty to Hva and the backbone structure on the properties of these aromatic–aliphatic polycarbonates.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

For quantitative fluorescence microscopy imaging, cells were fixed with $4\%$ (w/v) paraformaldehyde for 10 min and then permeabilized with 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100 for 3 min. Staining was conducted using Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin for 20 min and Hoechst for 5 min. For quantification of viability, the cell culture medium containing 10% vol. of AlamarBlue reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was added to wells of live cells after a buffer rinse.27 The fluorescence of the supernatant was measured after 4 h of incubation ($k_{ex} = 560$ nm, $k_{em} = 590$ nm). Total cell count on polymer substrates was calculated for each time point by comparing fluorescence readouts against a standard curve of known cell numbers. Three independent experiments were carried out ($n = 3$) with three replicates for each condition.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis and Chemical Structure.** A series of polycarbonates from tyrosol (Ty) and homovanillyl alcohol (Hva) (Figure 1A–C) was prepared by condensation polymerization using triphosgene with Ty content of 100, 90, 75, 50, and 0 mol% in the feed. The polymer composition respective to Ty and Hva was confirmed using $^1H$ NMR spectroscopy (Figure S1: $^1H$ NMR spectra, Supporting Information). As illustrated in Figure 1 D, the backbone structure featured sequence isomers with diaryl (head-to-head, h/h), dialkyl (tail-to-tail, t/t) and aryl alkyl (head-to-tail, h/t) carbonates (Table 1: $^1H$ NMR annotations). Chemical shifts of protons in head-to-head, tail-to-tail isomers (aromatic: a1 and b1, aliphatic: d1 and e1) can be distinguished from those in head-to-tail isomers at corresponding positions (aromatic: a2 and b2, aliphatic: d2 and e2) for Ty and Hva. Additional protons exclusive to Hva units are annotated accordingly (aromatic: c1 and c2, methoxy: f1 and f2). The ratios of h/h:t/t shifted from 1:1:1.3 in poly(tyrosol carbonate) to 1:1:2.8 in poly(Hva carbonate) (Table S1: integral ratios in $^1H$ NMR, Supporting Information). We expected dramatic effects of the compositional changes from Ty to Hva and the backbone structure on the properties of these aromatic–aliphatic polycarbonates.

**Physical Properties.** The physical properties in the series of polycarbonates from Ty and Hva are listed in Table 2. All
60 to 74 °C in accordance with the Fox equation. This $T_g$ increase may be explained by reduced polymer chain flexibility due to the methoxyl substituent of Hva. As reported elsewhere, additional steric barriers to chain rotations raised $T_g$ in polystyrenes and polymethacrylates. In the wet state, the $T_g$ of preconditioned polycarbonates from Ty and Hva was reduced by approximately 10 °C for all compositions; the equilibrium water uptake of the polymer specimens throughout the series was less than 1% (w/w), thus explaining the moderate reduction of the $T_g$ upon hydration. Under physiological conditions all polymers were in the glassy, amorphous state. The polymer surfaces throughout the series were characterized as moderately hydrophobic with water contact angles around 81 °.

To evaluate the applicability of the polycarbonates from Ty and Hva in the fabrication of biodegradable load-bearing devices, the tensile moduli as well as stress and strain at the yield point were determined by tensile testing (see Table 3 and Figure S2, Supporting Information). Changing the ratio of Ty and Hva provided control over the glass transition temperature ($T_g$) while the mechanical properties remained similar. In the dry state at room temperature, all polymers were characterized as strong and stiff materials with tensile moduli in the range from 1.0 ± 0.1 GPa to 1.2 ± 0.1 GPa and the yield stress ($\sigma_{\text{yield}}$) ranging from 38 ± 2 MPa to 57 ± 3 MPa. The yield strain ($\varepsilon_{\text{yield}}$) was approximately 6% for all compositions. The polymers were ductile and did not break at 150% strain, which was the maximal elongation that could be measured by our experimental setup. Under simulated physiological conditions at 37 °C, lower tensile moduli (ranging between 0.8 ± 0.2 GPa and 1.2 ± 0.2 GPa) and $\sigma_{\text{yield}}$ (27 ± 2 MPa to 43 ± 2 MPa) values of the copolymers were recorded; the $\varepsilon_{\text{yield}}$ values were not affected. The moderate reduction of mechanical performance in the wet state is expected due to hydration and higher temperature during testing. The physical properties and the mechanical performance of the reported polymers were comparable to other resorbable, aromatic polycarbonates. For example, poly(DTE carbonate) (DTE = desaminotyrosyl tyrosine ethyl ester), suitable for biomedical applications, has a modulus of 1.5 GPa in both the dry and the wet states at 22 °C. In particular, copolymers of DTE and PEG (5 mol % of PEG, Mn = 5 × 10^5 g mol⁻¹) with 1.2 GPa in the dry state and 0.6 GPa in the wet state match the performance range of polycarbonates from Hva and Ty.

By contrast, aliphatic polycarbonates, e.g., PTMC, are much more flexible and possess lower moduli in the low megapsical range under physiologically relevant conditions.

### Table 3. Mechanical properties of polycarbonates from Ty and Hva (mean ± SD, n = 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Copolymer composition Ty/Hva [mol %]</th>
<th>Modulus [GPa]</th>
<th>Yield stress [MPa]</th>
<th>Yield strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dry a</td>
<td>Wet b</td>
<td>Dry a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100/0</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>38 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90/10</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>42 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75/25</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>57 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0/100</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>54 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* aPreconditioned for 24 h and tested in PBS at 37 °C. bPreconditioned at room temperature (RT) without preconditioning. cRetention of Mechanical Properties. To explore the in vitro degradation behavior, compression-molded specimens of polycarbonates from Hva and Ty were immersed in lipase solution and in PBS as a control. The pH of the degradation medium remained around pH = 7 for all the tested groups measured after 1 week before replacement with fresh solution. At 37 °C in lipase solution, the molecular weight remained unchanged, while linear mass-loss was observed for poly(tyrosol carbonate) and compositions with 90 and 75 mol % Ty (Figure 2A).

![Figure 2. (A) Relative mass retention of specimens with compositions of Ty/Hva (mol %) 100/0, 90/10, 75/25, 50/50, and 0/100 incubated in lipase solution at 37 °C (mean ± SD, n = 3).](image360x281 to 529x558)

For poly(tyrosol carbonate) a rate of mass-loss of 0.14 ± 0.01 mg cm⁻² d⁻¹ was demonstrated. At this rate, only about half of the mass was retained at a 6-week time point. The last structurally intact specimens were retrieved after 9 weeks with a relative mass-loss of around 80%. The lipase dependent erosion was slower for compositions with 90 and 75 mol % Ty showing rates of 0.07 ± 0.01 mg cm⁻² d⁻¹ and 0.03 ± 0.01 mg cm⁻² d⁻¹, respectively. However, the mass-loss of compositions with lower than 50 mol % Ty was too slow to be quantified accurately. Poly(homovanillyl carbonate) was stable in lipase solution at 37 °C. In accordance with the mass-loss results, concomitant thickness-loss was observed for poly(tyrosol carbonate) specimens at a rate of 3.0 ± 0.8 μm d⁻¹ (Figure 2B).
Likewise, the thickness of specimens containing 90 and 75 mol % Ty decreased over time as well, while no change was observed for compositions with 50 mol % Ty and poly(homovanillyl carbonate). No surface erosion was observed for any composition incubated in PBS, while the long-term stability of poly(tyrosol carbonate) was evaluated for a period of 1 year showing no significant changes in molecular weight.

A unique morphology of partially eroded poly(tyrosol carbonate) specimens was revealed in SEM images: while the untreated poly(tyrosol carbonate) specimens showed a smooth surface (Figure 3A), pits and cavities were seen on surfaces progressively eroded by lipase while control specimens incubated in PBS maintained a smooth surface (Figure 3F).

The mechanical properties of poly(tyrosol carbonate), the fastest eroding composition, were evaluated over time in lipase solution and PBS as a control. In both conditions, the modulus, $\sigma_{\text{yield}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{yield}}$ values were retained for a period of at least 18 weeks, as shown in Table 4.

When engineering surface eroding devices, however, it has to be considered that due to changes in the specimen’s dimensions the force required to deform the specimens will decrease with time. Nevertheless, the change is predictable, and may be adjusted for by setting the design parameters. In comparison, for bulk-degrading devices, mechanical properties decline in a less controllable manner. For example, poly(DL-lactic acid) specimens are weakened by the possible formation of hollow structures in the bulk with only the retention of an outer shell.

**Glass Transition Temperature and Onset of Enzymatic Erosion.** We studied the temperature dependence of enzymatic erosion on thin films of the polycarbonates from Ty and Hva using QCM-D. At the beginning of the experiments, lipase was adsorbed showing comparable adsorption isotherms (Figure S3, Supporting Information) for all compositions with frequency changes (Δf) of −22 Hz and −26 Hz (mass adsorption of 290 to 460 ng cm$^{-2}$) at 20 °C. At this temperature all thin films were stable against enzymatic degradation. Next, the experiment was continued with stepwise temperature increases in a range between 20 and 49 °C as shown in the QCM-D frequency traces of Figure 4A. At specific, polymer composition-dependent onset temperatures ($T_{\text{onset}}$), a transition in the frequency traces upon raising the temperature was demonstrated for all the samples, indicating that enzymatic surface erosion started once the temperature of the specimen reached a value that was 20 °C below the wet $T_g$ of fully hydrated samples (see Table 5). We can therefore define an onset temperature for enzymatic surface erosion which can be expected around $T_{\text{onset}} = T_g(\text{wet}) - 20$ °C, where $T_g(\text{wet})$ stands for the glass transition temperature of fully hydrated samples. At each temperature step, a new baseline was recorded after a rapid equilibration of temperature. Once $T_{\text{onset}}$ was reached, frequency increased dramatically even after temperature equilibration, indicating erosion of the polymer films. In control experiments without lipase, no frequency changes beyond baseline equilibration were observed throughout the temperature range.

The rates of mass-loss per unit area derived from the frequency traces are plotted against temperature in Figure 4B. We observed an onset of mass-loss for all compositions. Moreover, when the onset temperature for mass loss was determined by extrapolating the linear section of the curves, the experimentally determined onset temperatures for mass loss were close to $T_g(\text{wet}) - 20$ °C for all compositions. For example, poly(tyrosol carbonate), the polymer with the lowest $T_g(\text{wet})$ in

![Figure 3. SEM morphology of surfaces of compression molded discs of poly(tyrosol carbonate) after incubation in lipase solution: (A) 0 weeks, (B) 1 week, (C) 4 weeks, (D) 6 weeks, (E) 9 weeks, (F) 9 weeks, PBS control; after rinse with 70% (v/v) ethanol. Scale bar =10 μm.](image_url)

Table 4. Mechanical Properties of Poly(tyrosol carbonate) during Erosion (Mean ± SD, n = 4)$^{a}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time</th>
<th>modulus [GPa]</th>
<th>yield stress [Mpa]</th>
<th>yield strain$^b$</th>
<th>mass-loss$^{b,c}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lipase</td>
<td>PBS control</td>
<td>lipase</td>
<td>PBS control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2$^d$</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>27 ± 2$^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>34 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>26 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 weeks</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
<td>27 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Specimens were tested while immersed in PBS at 37 °C. $^b$SD < 1%. $^c$Mass loss recorded based on 400 μm thick, rectangular shaped specimens. $^d$Identical to the values shown in Table 3 for preconditioned specimens and included here again for ease of comparison.
As expected, the rates of erosion increase upon heating. Further differences in the polymer chain flexibility appear to be an important determining factor. It is noteworthy that previous studies suggested increased flexibility of polymer chains in confinement as compared to the bulk: A depression of the bulk $T_g$ value by approximately 20 °C was observed in ultrathin films (thickness below 100 nm) of polystyrene as a model system for confinement. We suggest that the polymer chain flexibility at the surface may be increased toward a more rubbery-like behavior compared to a glassy bulk. Similarly, the hydrated surface of glassy polymers, such as polycarbonates from Ty and Hva, may start to behave in a rubbery manner at about 20 °C below the measured bulk $T_g$ value. At that temperature, the active site of lipase adsorbed on the polymer surface is able to interact with hydrolyzable carbonate groups to mediate polymer degradation.

Interestingly, the identification of a $T_{\text{onset}}$ for the enzymatic erosion of degradable polymers approximately 20 °C below $T_g$ may also explain why amorphous poly(l-lactic acid) is susceptible to degradation by Proteinase K at 37 °C, while aromatic polycarbonates such as poly(DTE carbonate) with a $T_g$ of 90 °C have a glass transition out of a biologically relevant temperature range and do not exhibit enzymatic erosion under physiological conditions.

**Cell Viability and Proliferation.** Three polycarbonates from Ty and Hva (100, 90, and 50 mol % Ty) were evaluated as substrates for attachment and proliferation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) relative to tissue-culture polystyrene (TCPS). Bone marrow-derived hMSCs were selected for these studies in view of the potential biomedical applications of these high-strength materials in orthopedics. In comparison, all compositions supported cell attachment and proliferation equally with no statistical differences found (Figure 5). After 4 days, hMSCs exhibited spread morphologies on all substrates as observed by confocal microscopy (Figure S5, Supporting Information). Confluence was reached after 7 days in culture on all substrates with cell densities of approximately $6 \times 10^4$ cells cm$^{-2}$.

## CONCLUSIONS

While the phenomenon of enzymatic surface erosion has been linked to polymer chain flexibility before, it has not been recognized that a rather simple correlation with a polymer’s glass transition temperature can explain why some polymers undergo enzymatic surface erosion while others seem to be unaffected by enzymes. Our results demonstrate that the ability of enzymes to erode a polymer surface is not merely an intrinsic property of the polymer. Instead, it seems that the susceptibility of a polymer to undergo enzymatic surface erosion is determined by the experimental conditions and that a simple correlation ($T_{\text{onset}} = T_g - 20$ °C) may allow one to predict...
if a given polycarbonate will undergo surface erosion under physiological conditions. This new understanding of enzymatic surface erosion can now be used to design innovative polymers that will exhibit enzymatic surface erosion at specific experimental conditions. This is exemplified by the system of new aromatic–aliphatic polycarbonates from the natural phenols tyrosol and homovanillyl alcohol. For selected compositions among these polymers, the hydrated surface layer of the polymer at physiological conditions (37 °C) is flexible enough to allow for enzymatic degradation, while the bulk still maintains the mechanical strength of a glassy material. In future studies, we will explore whether similar correlations can be established for other types of biomedically important polymers such as polyesters and polyamides.
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