Rowan University

[Rowan Digital Works](https://rdw.rowan.edu/)

[Rowan-Virtua School of Osteopathic Medicine](https://rdw.rowan.edu/som_facpub)

Rowan-Virtua School of Osteopathic Medicine

6-5-2024

Perturbations in Risk/Reward Decision Making and Frontal Cortical Catecholamine Regulation Induced by Mild Traumatic Brain Injury

Christopher P Knapp Rowan University

Eleni Papadopoulos Rowan University

Jessica A Loweth Rowan University

Ramesh Raghupathi Drexel University

Stan B Floresco University of British Columbia Follow this and additional works at: [https://rdw.rowan.edu/som_facpub](https://rdw.rowan.edu/som_facpub?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

See next page for additional authors Part of the [Behavior and Behavior Mechanisms Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/963?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Disease Modeling Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/814?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Nervous](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/928?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [System Diseases Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/928?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Neurology Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/692?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), [Organic Chemicals Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/955?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Pathological](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1004?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Conditions, Signs and Symptoms Commons,](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1004?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Psychiatry Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/704?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages), and the [Trauma Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1240?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

Knapp, Christopher P; Papadopoulos, Eleni; Loweth, Jessica A; Raghupathi, Ramesh; Floresco, Stan B; Waterhouse, Barry D; and Navarra, Rachel L, "Perturbations in Risk/Reward Decision Making and Frontal Cortical Catecholamine Regulation Induced by Mild Traumatic Brain Injury" (2024). Rowan-Virtua School of Osteopathic Medicine Faculty Scholarship. 214. [https://rdw.rowan.edu/som_facpub/214](https://rdw.rowan.edu/som_facpub/214?utm_source=rdw.rowan.edu%2Fsom_facpub%2F214&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Rowan-Virtua School of Osteopathic Medicine at Rowan Digital Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Rowan-Virtua School of Osteopathic Medicine Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Rowan Digital Works.

Authors

Christopher P Knapp, Eleni Papadopoulos, Jessica A Loweth, Ramesh Raghupathi, Stan B Floresco, Barry D Waterhouse, and Rachel L Navarra

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01664328)

Behavioural Brain Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbr

Research report

Perturbations in risk/reward decision making and frontal cortical catecholamine regulation induced by mild traumatic brain injury

Christopher P. Knapp^{a,*,1}, Eleni Papadopoulos^{a,2}, Jessica A. Loweth^{a,3}, Ramesh Raghupathi ^{b,4}, Stan B. Floresco^{c,5}, Barry D. Waterhouse^{a,6}, Rachel L. Navarra^{a,*,7}

^a *Department of Cell Biology and Neuroscience, Rowan-Virtua School of Translational Biomedical Engineering and Sciences, Stratford, NJ, USA*

^b *Department of Neurobiology and Anatomy, Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA*

^c *Department of Psychology and Djavad Mowafaghian Centre for Brain Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada*

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Mild traumatic brain injury Decision making Sex differences Prefrontal cortex Catecholamine regulation Repetitive injury

ABSTRACT

Mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) disrupts cognitive processes that influence risk taking behavior. Little is known regarding the effects of repetitive mild injury (rmTBI) or whether these outcomes are sex specific. Risk/ reward decision making is mediated by the prefrontal cortex (PFC), which is densely innervated by catecholaminergic fibers. Aberrant PFC catecholamine activity has been documented following TBI and may underlie TBI-induced risky behavior. The present study characterized the effects of rmTBI on risk/reward decision making behavior and catecholamine transmitter regulatory proteins within the PFC. Rats were exposed to sham, single (smTBI), or three closed-head controlled cortical impact (CH-CCI) injuries and assessed for injury-induced effects on risk/reward decision making using a probabilistic discounting task (PDT). In the first week post-final surgery, mTBI increased risky choice preference. By the fourth week, males exhibited increased latencies to make risky choices following rmTBI, demonstrating a delayed effect on processing speed. When levels of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and the norepinephrine reuptake transporter (NET) were measured within subregions of the PFC, females exhibited dramatic increases of TH levels within the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) following smTBI. However, both males and females demonstrated reduced levels of OFC NET following rmTBI. These results indicate the OFC is susceptible to catecholamine instability after rmTBI and suggests that not all areas of the PFC contribute equally to TBI-induced imbalances. Overall, the CH-CCI model of rmTBI has revealed time-dependent and sex-specific changes in risk/reward decision making and catecholamine regulation following repetitive mild head injuries.

1. Introduction

Athletes, military personnel, and domestic violence victims often experience multiple traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) $[1-11]$ $[1-11]$, the majority of which are classified as mild (mTBIs), or concussions [3–[6,10,12\]](#page-11-0). While the effects of single injuries are often transient, evidence suggests that repetitive mild injuries (rmTBI) can result in severe and long-lasting cognitive impairments $[5,9,13-15]$ $[5,9,13-15]$. The prefrontal cortex (PFC), which is most frequently affected following TBI events [\[16,17\],](#page-12-0) mediates complex cognitive processes that regulate decision making and action in situations that involve uncertain risk/reward outcomes.

The Iowa Gambling Task (IGT) [\[18\]](#page-12-0) has primarily been used in clinical assessments of risk/reward decision making in TBI patients. This task models real-life decisions involving reward, punishment, and

* Corresponding authors.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2024.115002>

Available online 16 April 2024 Received 23 February 2024; Received in revised form 3 April 2024; Accepted 14 April 2024

0166-4328/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) $nc/4.0/$).

E-mail addresses: knappc0@rowan.edu (C.P. Knapp), navarra@rowan.edu (R.L. Navarra).

¹ 42 East Laurel Road, Suite 2200, Stratford, NJ 08084.

² 42 East Laurel Road, Suite 2200, Stratford, NJ 08084.

³ 42 East Laurel

uncertainty of outcomes. In this task, participants choose cards from four different decks in an opportunity to win money. Two decks are associated with large gains but also pose risks of losses that are more frequent or of higher magnitude, and two decks are associated with small gains, but pose less risk of loss. During task performance, healthy individuals learn that choosing the small/certain decks are advantageous to maximize overall net gain. Initial studies established that patients who have experienced TBI, especially with damage encroaching on the PFC, displayed suboptimal decision profiles, resulting in greater loss of money on the IGT [\[18](#page-12-0)–20]. Further clinical studies have consistently reported increased risk taking behavior in single TBI cases [18–[23\]](#page-12-0); although the effects of repetitive mild injuries have not been explored. One limitation of clinical studies on how cognitive functions may be affected by repetitive TBI is that it is difficult to control for the severity, timing, and number of injuries that a TBI patient suffers. Moreover, much of the current TBI research does not include a proportionate number of men and women in their assessments, despite numerous reports of sex differences in TBI susceptibility rates [\[24](#page-12-0)–37], post-injury symptoms [\[27,28,38](#page-12-0)–40], and recovery patterns [\[28,](#page-12-0) 38–[42\].](#page-12-0) Post-TBI assessments of decision making often group men and women together [18–[20,22,23\]](#page-12-0), making it difficult to differentiate potential sex differences in risk/reward decision making following injury. These deficiencies underscore the need for the development of pre-clinical models that can appropriately access, reveal, and differentiate potential sex-specific deficits in PFC-mediated cognitive processes following TBI.

Clinical and pre-clinical investigations have revealed that the PFC contains multiple interconnected regions that work together to facilitate efficient risk/reward decisions [43–[57\].](#page-12-0) These areas include anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), regions of the medial PFC (mPFC), and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Individual damage to any of these regions has been shown to impair reward-guided learning and action selection [\[44,53\]](#page-12-0), increase perseverative behavior [\[18,58\],](#page-12-0) reduce sensitivity to consequences of risky decisions [\[18,20\]](#page-12-0), and impair information processing relating to new and/or changing risk/reward contingencies [\[22,23,55\]](#page-12-0). TBI often damages multiple areas of the PFC, which causes collective impairments to decisional processes, leading to the reported increases in risk taking behavior. While the effects of repetitive TBI have not yet been explored, it is likely that multiple head injuries exacerbate existing damage to prefrontal areas and cause new injuries in previously uninjured regions.

The PFC receives dense innervation from catecholaminergic fibers, containing dopamine (DA) and norepinephrine (NE), which modulate PFC-mediated processes [\[59](#page-12-0)–64]. An optimal balance of catecholaminergic signaling is required for normal operation of all PFC regions. While small increases in DA and NE neurotransmission can improve cognitive performance [65–[70\],](#page-13-0) catecholamine activity outside this optimal range can result in impaired cognitive functioning. Previous reports have demonstrated aberrant catecholamine activity within the prefrontal cortex following TBI [\[71,72\]](#page-13-0), suggesting that imbalanced DA and NE levels may underlie injury-induced risky decision making. The reasons for these alterations are unclear; however, some studies have reported changes in catecholamine-associated regulatory protein levels following TBI. Increased levels of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting enzyme for catecholamine synthesis, have been observed throughout the brain [\[71,73](#page-13-0)–76], suggesting a surge in catecholamine production. Reuptake transporter proteins, DAT and NET, remove extracellular DA and NE after their release to maintain efficient catecholaminergic signaling. NET, in particular, is the primary transporter for DA and NE in the PFC [\[77](#page-13-0)–79]; however, no studies have examined changes in NET expression after TBI despite brain-wide, and sometimes sex-dependent, decreases in DAT being reported [\[74,80](#page-13-0)–82]. Furthermore, how repetitive injury affects these proteins and whether changes in TH and NET expression are similar across all prefrontal areas remains to be explored. Given the dissociable contributions of the mPFC, ACC, and OFC to risk/reward decision making, differential disruptions to catecholamine

activity within each sub-region would result in distinct changes to the processing and execution of probabilistic-based decisions.

The goal of the present study was to characterize the effects of rmTBI on risk/reward decision making and to identify potential neural mechanisms of catecholamine transmitter imbalances in the PFC underlying these injury-induced effects. To this end, we utilized an established preclinical assay of risk/reward decision making, i.e. the probabilistic discounting task (PDT) [\[83\]](#page-13-0). This task requires rats to choose between small/certain rewards delivered with 100% certainty and large/risky rewards delivered with probabilities that decrease across a session. Like the IGT, performance on the PDT relies on intact PFC functioning, and changes in neural [\[55,57\]](#page-12-0) or catecholaminergic [\[45,56\]](#page-12-0) activity within the PFC have been shown to alter these types of risk-related decisions. This sensitivity to changes in PFC activity makes the PDT a viable assay for detecting potential impairments to risk/reward decision making following TBI. Western blotting procedures were then used to determine levels of catecholamine-associated regulatory proteins within specific subregions of the PFC known to influence decision making processes at the time point of first behavioral evaluation following injury. Together, these evaluations established a framework for investigating sex-specific changes in PFC-mediated executive function and catecholamine regulation following repetitive mild head injuries.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Fifty-five male and fifty-four female Long-Evans rats were used in this study. Animals were obtained from Charles River Laboratories and housed in a 12 h:12 h reverse light/dark cycle facility. Following one week of acclimation, all rats were single housed into separate cages, and placed on a food regulated diet (5 g/100 g body weight/day) with *ad libitum* access to water. They were maintained to 85–90% of their free feeding weight throughout the duration of these studies. Animals used for behavioral experiments were obtained at 3–4 weeks old/50–75 g in accordance with the duration of time required to complete all Probabilistic Discounting Task training protocols prior to receiving sham or impact surgeries in young adulthood, i.e. 9–10 weeks old, in translation to the age of entering athletic career trajectories and average military recruitment. Animals used for Western blotting experiments did not require pre-surgery training and were obtained at 5–6 weeks old/ 100–125 g to match weights of food regulated growth curves recorded from behavioral animals prior to surgeries at 9–10 weeks old. All experimental procedures were in accordance with the Rowan University School of Osteopathic Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

2.2. Probabilistic discounting task (PDT)

2.2.1. Apparatus

Behavioral studies were conducted in 16 operant chambers [29 cm (L) x 24 cm (W) x 29 cm (H); Med-Associates, Albans, VT] enclosed within sound attenuating boxes. Operant chambers were equipped with a fan, a house light, and 2 retractable levers located on either side of a food dispenser where sucrose pellet rewards (45 mg; Bio-Serv, Flemington, NJ) were delivered. A photo beam was located at the dispenser entry point to detect reward collection. Custom built Med Associates Nexlink computer packages controlled the training, testing, and data acquisition during performance.

2.2.2. Lever-pressing training

Initial training protocols were adapted from St. Onge and Floresco [\[83\]](#page-13-0). Age-matched male ($n = 31$) and female ($n = 30$) rats were first trained to press a single lever (either the left or right) using a fixed-ratio one (FR1) schedule to a criterion of 50 presses within 30 minutes. Once criterion was achieved, rats repeated this procedure for the opposite lever. Rats then trained on a simplified version of the PDT (90 trials per session) which required them to press one of the two levers within a 10 second period for a sucrose reward delivered with a 50% probability. This procedure familiarized them with the probabilistic nature of actions and outcomes. Rats were trained for at least 3 days to a criterion of 75 or more successful trials (i.e.; \leq 15 omissions) on the simplified PDT.

2.2.3. PDT training and testing

The PDT was used to assess changes in risk/reward decision making and has been described previously [55–[57,83](#page-12-0)–87] (Fig. 1). This task required rats to choose between levers that result in either small/certain rewards (1 pellet) delivered with 100% certainty and large/risky rewards (4 pellets) delivered with decreasing probabilities across a series of five trial blocks (i.e. 100% probability \rightarrow 50% \rightarrow 25% \rightarrow 12.5% \rightarrow 6.25%). Each session took 52.5 minutes to complete and consisted of 90 trials, separated into 5 blocks of 18 trials. These 18 trials consisted of 8 forced-choice trials where only one lever was extended allowing rats to learn the relative likelihood of obtaining the larger reward in each block. This was followed by 10 free-choice trials, where both levers were extended allowing rats to freely choose between the small/certain or the large/risky lever. Each session began in darkness with both levers retracted. A trial began every 35 seconds with the illumination of the house light and extension of one or both levers. Once a lever was chosen, both levers retracted, rats were rewarded 1 pellet if they chose the small/certain lever or a possible 4 pellets if they chose the large/risky lever, and the house lights turned off. If the rat did not respond within a 10 second period, levers retracted, and the house light turned off until the next trial and the trial was scored as an omission.

Rats were trained 7 days per week until each cohort achieved baseline criteria, which included choosing the risky lever in *>*80% of trials in the 100% block and maintaining stable patterns of choice for 3 consecutive sessions. Determining stable baseline performance involved analyzing 3 consecutive sessions using a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with two within-subjects factors (day and trial block). Rats were required to demonstrate a significant main effect of block (p *<* 0.05), but not a main effect of day nor a day x block interaction (p *>* 0.1). If animals, as a group, met these 3 requirements, they were determined to have achieved stable baseline levels of choice behavior.

Rats required \sim 17 days of training before stable criterion performance was achieved. They then received TBI or sham surgical procedures. In so doing, animals were rank ordered based on the average ratio of large/risky lever presses over successful free-choice trials across the last three training days. Animals with the highest ratio were assigned a

Fig. 1. Schematic of the Probabilistic Discounting Task. Top: Cost/benefit contingencies associated with each lever. Bottom: Task design depicting the decreasing large/risky reward probabilities across five trial blocks.

higher rank. A reverse Latin square method was then used to assign rats to one of three surgical groups: sham (uninjured), single injury (smTBI), or repetitive injury (rmTBI). This method was used to limit bias when forming surgical groups by having equal amounts of risky and riskadverse rats in each group. Forty-eight hours after the final surgery, animals were reintroduced to the PDT and tested 5 days per week for four weeks to assess changes in risk/reward decision making.

2.3. Surgery

On the day of surgery, each group of rats (9–10 weeks old) underwent a total of three surgeries over the course of one week separated by 2 days using the closed head-controlled cortical impact (CH-CCI) model (Custom Design & Fabrication Incorporated, Glenn Allen, VA). Briefly, animals were anesthetized at 4% isoflurane in 95% oxygen/5% carbon dioxide, then maintained at \sim 2.0% isoflurane while a midline incision of 2 cm was made to expose the skull. Anesthesia was discontinued and the rat was transferred to a stage under the CCI device with its head resting on a foam pad $[10 \text{ cm } L)$ x 5 cm (W) x 1 cm (H)]. A 5 mm diameter metal impactor tip was positioned on the skull surface along the sagittal suture with the edge of the tip aligned with bregma. The tip was then electronically driven at a velocity of 5.5 m/s and a depth of 2.5 mm below the surface point of contact with a dwell time of 100 ms. Following injury, righting reflex times were recorded by measuring the latency for animals to regain normal posture after being placed in the supine position. Animals were then re-anesthetized and the incision was closed with wound clips. rmTBI rats received an impact on all 3 surgery days whereas smTBI rats received sham surgeries on the first 2 surgery days and an impact on the 3rd day. Sham rats underwent the same surgical procedures but were not impacted on any day.

2.4. Western blotting

To examine the effects of rmTBI on TH and NET levels within subregions of the PFC, separate groups of age-matched male $(n = 24)$ and female ($n = 24$) rats were subjected to the same surgical procedures as described above. Forty-eight hours after their final surgery, anesthetized animals were decapitated and the mPFC, ACC, and OFC were dissected [\[88\]](#page-13-0) (Fig. 2), immediately frozen on dry ice, and stored at − 80◦C until use. Tissue was then homogenized in lysis buffer (consisting of 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1X Protease Inhibitor I, and 1X Protease Inhibitor II), followed by refrigerated

Location of Tissue Collection

Fig. 2. Location of tissue collected from the medial prefrontal, orbitofrontal, and anterior cingulate regions for Western Blot assays. (adapted from Paxinos and Watson, 2007).

centrifugation at 20,000 x g for 2 minutes at 4◦C to generate whole cell lysates [89–[91\]](#page-13-0). The supernatants were then aliquoted and stored at − 80◦C until use. Protein concentrations were determined using a Bio-Rad DC Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Protein samples were prepared in 4X sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and heated at 90◦ for 3 minutes. Equal amounts of protein (15 ug) were loaded into each well of a Criterion XT Bis-Tris Protein Gel (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Following gel electrophoresis, protein were transferred to Immuno-Blot PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in TBS-T (20X TBS and 10% Tween) for 1 hour and then probed with either rabbit anti-TH (1:1000; MilliporeSigma, Temecula, CA) or rabbit anti-NET (1:1000; Abcam, Waltham, MA) antibody at 4◦C overnight followed by goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody conjugated with peroxidase (1:10,000; Rockland Immunochemicals, Inc., Limerick, PA) for 1 hour the next day. β-actin (1:2000; MilliporeSigma, Temecula, CA) was used as the loading control. Chemiluminescence was detected using Clarity Western ECL substrate (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), imaged using Azure c400 Biosystems imaging system (Azure Biosystems, Dublin, CA), and analyzed using AzureSpot Analysis Software (Azure Biosystems, Dublin, CA).

2.5. Statistical analysis

Analysis of righting reflex, win-stay/lose-shift, and Western blotting data were performed using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, San Diego CA). Analysis of choice behavior as well as choice and magazine latencies were performed using SPSS software (IBM, SPSS Inc.). For all surgery groups, righting reflex times, measured as the latency to regain sternal recumbency following impact, were averaged together, respectively, within each surgery day. Male and female righting reflex data were then analyzed separately using two-way repeated measures ANOVAs with surgery day (day 1, day 2, and day 3) as the within-subjects factor and injury condition (sham, smTBI, and rmTBI) as the between-subjects factor. For post-surgery PDT behavior, choice behavior was measured as the percentage of choices directed towards the large/risky option. Choice latency was measured as the latency to press either the large/risky or small/certain lever, while magazine latency was measured as the latency to collect rewards following a rewarded lever press. These data were averaged across 3 consecutive sessions, respectively, and analyzed using three-way mixeddesign ANOVAs with trial block (100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%) as the within-subjects factor and injury condition (sham, smTBI, and rmTBI) and sex (male and female) as the between-subjects factors. The effect of trial block was always significant (p *<* 0.05) for choice behavior and choice latencies, but not for magazine latencies (p *>* 0.05), and will not be discussed further. Win-stay/lose-shift analysis clarifies whether changes in choice preference are caused by altered sensitivity to positive or negative feedback. "Win-stay" values are calculated as the proportion of trials a rat stays with the risky option after obtaining the larger reward on the previous trial. "Lose-shift" values are calculated as the proportion of trials a rat switches to the certain option after a non-rewarded risky choice. These data were computed across 3 consecutive sessions and analyzed using three-way mixed-design ANOVAs with feedback (winstay and lose-shift) as the within-subjects factor and injury condition (sham, smTBI, and rmTBI) and sex (male and female) as the betweensubjects factors. For Western blotting, individual one-way ANOVAs were used to analyze group differences (sham vs. smTBI vs. rmTBI) in protein levels for each PFC sub-region. This analysis was performed for males and females. Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests, when appropriate, were used to compare individual differences when overall significance was found. For all results, statistical significance was determined by a p value *<* 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Acute response to injury

Immediately following sham injury or mTBI, the latency to regain righting reflex was recorded. rmTBI in male rats resulted in longer righting reflex times compared to sham animals across all three surgical days (Table 1). Analysis of righting reflex data revealed significant main effects of both day [F (1.635, 85.02) = 10.11, $p = 0.0003$] and injury [F $(2, 52) = 5.892$, $p = 0.0049$] as well as a significant day x injury interaction [F (4, 104) = 4.901, $p = 0.0012$]. Dunnett's multiple comparisons analysis revealed that rmTBI rats demonstrated longer righting reflex times compared to sham rats on days 1 ($p = 0.0228$) and 2 ($p =$ 0.0538) whereas smTBI rats displayed longer righting reflex times on day 3 ($p = 0.0007$).

mTBI in female rats also resulted in longer righting reflex times compared to sham animals across all three surgical days (Table 1). Analysis of righting reflex data revealed significant main effects of both day [F (1.962, 100.1) = 16.74, $p < 0.0001$] and injury [F (2, 51) = 9.049, $p = 0.0004$] as well as a significant day x injury interaction [F (4, 102) = 10.98, $p < 0.0001$]. Dunnett's multiple comparisons analysis revealed rmTBI rats demonstrated longer righting reflex times compared to sham rats on days 1 ($p = 0.0086$) and 2 ($p = 0.0121$) whereas smTBI rats displayed longer righting reflex times on day 3 ($p = 0.0019$).

3.2. Effects of mTBI on choice behavior

In the first week post-final surgery [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-6-0), analysis of choice data revealed a significant main effect of injury [F $(2, 55) = 3.582$, $p = 0.034$] with no injury x block, or three-way interaction with the sex factors (all ps *>* 0.05). There were also no main effects of sex [F (1, 55) = 2.424, p = 0.125] or injury x sex interaction [F $(2, 55) = 0.303$, p = 0.740]. The main effect of injury reflected the observation that TBI increased risky choice during Week 1 of testing relative to the sham group. This was confirmed with Dunnett's multiple comparisons analysis, that revealed smTBI rats displayed a significant increase in risky choice ($p = 0.035$) in comparison to sham rats, and a trend towards a significant increase in risky choice following rmTBI ($p = 0.073$). Although we did not observe significant interactions with the sex factor, visual inspection of the data plotted separately for each sex ([Fig. 3A](#page-6-0), top left inset) suggest that the increase in risky choice was more robustly in the female injury groups in

Righting Reflex Times.

Average righting reflex times (seconds) of male and female sham, single (smTBI), and repetitive (rmTBI) injury groups across all three surgery days. On surgery day 1, rmTBI males and females exhibited longer righting reflex times compared to their respective sham groups. On surgery day 2, rmTBI males demonstrated a trend towards longer righting reflex times, whereas rmTBI females demonstrated a significant increase in righting reflex times. On surgery day 3, smTBI males and females demonstrated longer righting reflex times compared to their respective sham groups. Values represent mean \pm SEM. $*$ denotes p *<* 0.05 and ^ denotes p *<* 0.1 from shams analyzed with Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests.

Choice Behavior

Fig. 3. Choice behavior across four weeks post-final surgery. Line graphs represent percent choice of the large/risky option across five trial blocks. In week 1, a main effect of injury was observed when males and females were combined for analysis [purple graph; sham $n = 22$, single (smTBI) $n = 19$, repetitive (rmTBI) $n = 20$]. No differences in choice behavior were found between sham, smTBI, or rmTBI injury groups in weeks 2–4. Insets display choice behavior of males (green; sham $n = 11$, $smTBI$ n = 10, rmTBI n = 10) and females (orange; sham n = 11, smTBI n = 9, rmTBI n = 10) separately. No significant differences in choice behavior were found between sham, smTBI, or rmTBI groups for either males or females separately in weeks 1–4, although increased risky choice preference in injured females is clearly noted upon visual inspection within the first week post-final injury. Symbols represent mean ± SEM. * denotes p *<* 0.05 main effect of injury analyzed with threeway ANOVA.

the first week post-final injury.

In comparison to the effects observed in the first week following TBI, analysis of choice data from weeks 2–4 post injury failed to reveal a significant main effect of injury [F (2, 55) = 1.984, p = 0.147; F (2, 55) = 0.856, $p = 0.431$; F (2, 55) = 1.703, $p = 0.192$, respectively], sex [F (1, $(55) = 0.170$, $p = 0.682$; F $(1, 55) = 0.952$, $p = 0.333$; F $(1, 55) = 0.667$, p $= 0.418$, respectively] or injury x sex interaction [F (2, 55) = 0.213, p = 0.809; F (2, 55) = 0.254, $p = 0.777$; F (2, 55) = 0.177, $p = 0.838$, respectively]. Likewise, these analyses failed any other interactions of block x injury, block x sex or block x injury x sex (p *>* 0.05) in weeks 2–4. Collectively, these data indicate that TBI increases risky choice during probabilistic discounting, but these effects dissipate after extended retraining.

3.3. Effects of mTBI on Win-Stay/Lose-Shift Behavior

Separate analyses compared how TBI affected win-stay/lose-shift behavior. Across weeks 1–4 of testing [\(Table 2](#page-7-0)), analysis of win-stay/ lose-shift data revealed a significant main effect of feedback, reflecting a difference in the proportion of win-stay and lose-shift tendencies made. However, these analyses did not yield a main effect of injury [F (2, $(55) = 0.1624$, $p = 0.8505$; F $(2, 55) = 0.1612$, $p = 0.8515$; F $(2, 55) =$ 0.1800, $p = 0.8357$; F (2, 55) = 0.08664, $p = 0.9171$, respectively], sex $[F(1, 55) = 0.5165, p = 0.4754; F(1, 55) = 0.2277, p = 0.6351; F(1, 55)$ $= 0.3930$, $p = 0.5333$; F (1, 55) = 0.02500, $p = 0.8749$, respectively], or injury x sex interaction [F (2, 55) = 3.128, p = 0.0517; F (2, 55) = 1.579, $p = 0.2154$; F (2, 55) = 0.7468, $p = 0.4786$; F (2, 55) = 0.02481, $p =$ 0.9755, respectively]. Additionally, there were no other interactions of feedback x injury, feedback x sex, and feedback x injury x sex (p *>* 0.05) in weeks 1–4.

3.4. Effects of mTBI on choice latency

Across weeks 1–4 of testing ([Fig. 4](#page-8-0)), analysis of choice latency revealed a significant main effect of sex [F $(1, 55) = 4.008$, p = 0.050; F $(1, 55) = 9.535$, $p = 0.003$; F $(1, 55) = 8.993$, $p = 0.004$; F $(1, 55) =$ 8.561, $p = 0.005$, respectively], reflecting that females were generally slower to make choices compared to males. However, these analyses did not yield a main effect of injury [F $(2, 55) = 0.088$, p = 0.915; F $(2, 55) =$ 0.413, $p = 0.664$; F (2, 55) = 0.861, $p = 0.428$; F (2, 55) = 0.888, $p =$ 0.417, respectively] or injury x sex interaction [F $(2, 55) = 1.595$, p = 0.212; F (2, 55) = 1.516, p = 0.229; F (2, 55) = 0.830, p = 0.441; F (2, 55) = 0.245, p = 0.783, respectively]. There were no other interactions of block x injury, block x sex, and block x injury x sex (p *>* 0.05) in weeks $1 - 4$.

Since we found clear differences in choice latencies between males and females, we wanted to see whether there were differences in latencies to choose different options. Subsequent three-way ANOVA analyses were therefore conducted to compare choice latencies of trials that resulted in a risky choice versus a certain one ([Fig. 5](#page-9-0)). Across weeks 1–4 of testing, analysis of choice latency revealed a significant main effect of sex [F (1, 55) = 4.600, $p = 0.0364$; F (1, 55) = 7.752, $p =$ 0.0073; F (1, 55) = 5.119, p = 0.0276; F (1, 55) = 8.500, p = 0.0051, respectively], reflecting, again, that females were slower to make choices compared to males. However, these analyses did not yield a main effect of choice type [F $(1, 55) = 0.6358$, p = 0.4287; F $(1, 55) =$ 1.388, $p = 0.2438$; F (1, 54) = 2.152, $p = 0.1482$; F (1, 55) = 3.731, $p =$ 0.0586, respectively], injury [F $(2, 55) = 0.2468$, p = 0.7822; F $(2, 55) =$ 0.1290, $p = 0.8792$; F (2, 55) = 1.391, $p = 0.2576$; F (2, 55) = 1.409, $p =$ 0.2531, respectively] or injury x sex interaction [F $(2, 55) = 1.303$, p = 0.2800; F $(2, 55) = 1.641$, p = 0.2036; F $(2, 55) = 0.9807$, p = 0.3815; F

Table 2

Effects of mTBI on Win-Stay/Lose-Shift Behavior.

| | Win-Stay | | | Lose-Shift | | |
|------------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Combined | Male | Female | Combined | Male | Female |
| Week | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | |
| sham | $0.72 \pm$ | 0.64 | $0.80 \pm$ | $0.52 +$ | 0.53 | 0.51 \pm |
| | 0.05 | 士 | 0.04 | 0.04 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.05 |
| | | 0.08 | | | 0.05 | |
| smTBI | $0.78 \pm$ | 0.80 | $0.75 \pm$ | $0.44 \pm$ | 0.46 | $0.41 \pm$ |
| | 0.03 | 士 | 0.04 | 0.03 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.05 |
| | | 0.03 | | | 0.04 | |
| rmTBI | $0.79 \pm$ | 0.75 | $0.84 \pm$ | $0.44 \pm$ | 0.47 | $0.41 \pm$ |
| | 0.03 | Ŧ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 士 | 0.07 |
| | | 0.05 | | | 0.05 | |
| Week $\bf{2}$ | | | | | | |
| sham | $0.79 \pm$ | 0.74 | $0.83 \pm$ | $0.55 \pm$ | 0.53 | $0.56 \pm$ |
| | 0.06 | Ŧ | 0.06 | 0.05 | 士 | 0.05 |
| | | 0.09 | | | 0.08 | |
| smTBI | $0.86 \pm$ | 0.89 | $0.82 \pm$ | $0.49 \pm$ | 0.49 | $0.49 \pm$ |
| | 0.03 | \pm | 0.05 | 0.03 | \pm | 0.04 |
| | | 0.02 | | | 0.05 | |
| rmTBI | $0.86 \pm$ | 0.83 | $0.89 \pm$ | $0.50 \pm$ | 0.53 | $0.48 \pm$ |
| | 0.02 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.03 | 0.04 | 士 | 0.04 |
| | | 0.04 | | | 0.08 | |
| Week | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| sham | $0.85 \pm$ | 0.80 | $0.90 \pm$ | $0.49 \pm$ | 0.56 | $0.42 \pm$ |
| | 0.05 | 士 | 0.06 | 0.05 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.04 |
| | | 0.09 | | | 0.08 | |
| smTBI | $0.89 \pm$ | 0.88 | $0.90 \pm$ | $0.42 \pm$ | 0.41 | $0.43 \pm$ |
| | 0.02 | \pm | 0.03 | 0.02 | $_{\pm}$ 0.04 | 0.03 |
| rmTBI | $0.89 \pm$ | 0.03 0.87 | $0.91 \pm$ | $0.43 \pm$ | 0.49 | $0.38 \pm$ |
| | 0.02 | \pm | 0.01 | 0.04 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.05 |
| | | 0.04 | | | 0.05 | |
| Week | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| sham | $0.88 \pm$ | 0.82 | $0.94 \pm$ | $0.42 \pm$ | 0.48 | $0.37 \pm$ |
| | 0.04 | 士 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 士 | 0.04 |
| | | 0.09 | | | 0.09 | |
| smTBI | $0.95 \pm$ | 0.95 | $0.94 \pm$ | $0.35 \pm$ | 0.35 | $0.36 \pm$ |
| | 0.02 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.02 | 0.02 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.04 |
| | | 0.03 | | | 0.03 | |
| rmTBI | $0.92 \pm$ | 0.91 | $0.93 \pm$ | $0.36 \pm$ | 0.37 | $0.36 \pm$ |
| | 0.01 | 士 | 0.02 | 0.04 | $_{\pm}$ | 0.04 |
| | | 0.02 | | | 0.06 | |

Win-Stay/Lose-Shift ratios across four weeks post-final surgery. No differences in win-stay or lose-shift behavior were found between sham (males $n = 11$, females $n = 11$), single (smTBI; males $n = 10$, females $n = 9$), or repetitive (rmTBI; males $n = 10$, females $n = 10$) injury groups in weeks 1-4 post-surgery. Values represent mean ± SEM.

 $(2, 55) = 0.9509$, $p = 0.3926$, respectively]. There were no other interactions of choice x injury, choice x sex, and choice x injury x sex (p *>* 0.05) in weeks 1–4.

When the latencies of risky and certain choices were assessed separately, males, regardless of injury condition, showed no differences in choice latencies of trials that ended in a risky [F $(2, 28) = 1.091$, p = 0.3497; F $(2, 28) = 1.495$, $p = 0.2417$, respectively) or certain [F $(2, 28)$] $= 0.05336, p = 0.9481; F (2,28) = 0.1916, p = 0.8267$, respectively] choice in weeks 1 and 2 post-final injury [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0)A). However, in week 3, there was a strong trend towards increased latencies in trials that ended in risky choices (F $(2, 27) = 3.194$, $p = 0.0569$), and by week 4, this effect of mTBI was significant (F $(2, 28) = 3.368$, p = 0.0489). Dunnett's multiple comparisons analysis revealed that rmTBI rats were slower to make riskier choices ($p = 0.0297$) compared to sham animals. No significant differences in choice latencies between male injury groups were observed during trials that ended in certain (p *>* 0.1) choices in weeks 3 and 4. In comparison, there were no significant differences between female injury groups in choice latencies of trials that ended in either a

risky or certain (all ps *>* 0.1) choice across weeks 1–4 [\(Fig. 5](#page-9-0)B).

3.5. Effects of mTBI on magazine latency

Across weeks 1–4 of testing ([Table 3](#page-9-0)), analysis of magazine latency data failed to reveal a main effect of injury $[F(2, 54) = 0.032, p = 0.969;$ $F(2, 55) = 0.067$, $p = 0.935$; $F(2, 55) = 1.450$, $p = 0.243$; $F(2, 55) =$ 1.861, $p = 0.165$, respectively], sex [F (1, 54) = 0.131, $p = 0.718$; F (1, $(55) = 0.079$, $p = 0.779$; $F(1, 55) = 0.351$, $p = 0.556$; $F(1, 55) = 0.130$, p $= 0.720$, respectively], or injury x sex interaction [F (2, 54) = 0.368, p = 0.694; F (2, 55) = 0.332, p = 0.719; F (2, 55) = 0.166, p = 0.847; F (2, 55) = 0.478, p = 0.623, respectively]. Additionally, there were no other interactions of block x injury, block x sex, and block x injury x sex (p *>* 0.05) in weeks 1–4.

3.6. Effects of mTBI on TH and NET

In a separate group of rats that did not undergo behavioral testing, we examined the effects of TBI on expression of markers associated with catecholamine transmission [\(Fig. 6](#page-10-0)). Analysis of protein levels within the mPFC and ACC collected from tissue 48 hours after final surgery revealed no significant changes in TH levels in males [F $(2, 18) = 0.170$, $p = 0.8454$; F (2, 17) = 0.440, $p = 0.6512$, respectively] or females [F (2, $21) = 0.415$, $p = 0.6658$; F (2, 17) = 0.799, $p = 0.4661$, respectively] following injury. In the OFC, there were no significant changes in TH [F $(2, 20) = 0.945$, $p = 0.4054$] levels in males. However, in the OFC of females, we did observe a significant effect of injury on TH levels [F (2, $20) = 3.593$, $p = 0.0464$. Dunnett's multiple comparisons analysis determined that smTBI rats displayed a significant increase in TH levels $(p = 0.0296)$ compared to sham rats. Although rmTBI did not cause a statistically significant increase in female OFC TH levels, it is notable that these treatments did alter these levels in the same direction as smTBI, causing an 89.5% increase relative to shams.

Analysis of NET protein levels [\(Fig. 7](#page-10-0)) within the mPFC and ACC revealed TBI caused no significant changes in NET levels in males [F (2, $19) = 1.166$, $p = 0.3328$; F $(2.19) = 0.368$, $p = 0.6971$, respectively] or females [F (2,19) = 0.558, p = 0.5814; F (2,21) = 0.545, p = 0.5879, respectively]. On the other hand, in the OFC, there was a significant effect of injury on NET levels that was apparent in both males [F (2, 12) $= 3.953, p = 0.0480$] and females [F (2, 17) = 3.854, p = 0.0417]. Dunnett's multiple comparisons determined that rmTBI induced a significant decrease in NET (p *<* 0.05) levels compared to sham rats.

4. Discussion

Using a rodent assay of probabilistic discounting, the present study evaluated the effects of rmTBI on risk/reward decision making. During the first week following injury, mild TBI increased risky choice, and this effect achieved statistical significance in smTBI animals. Upon further inspection, it appeared that these increases were more pronounced in the female injury groups. However, we did not observe changes in winstay/lose-shift behavior during Week 1, suggesting that this increase in risk preference was not driven by altered sensitivity to rewarded or nonrewarded risky choices. Additionally, with extended testing, these effects resolved by week 2 post-injury indicating that the effects of mTBI on choice behavior are transient. While the effects of single injury align with previous TBI research, this study offers new insights into the effects of repetitive injury on risk/reward decision making. rmTBI does in fact disrupt adjustments in choice biases in response to changes in the relative risk of not obtaining rewards, but to a slightly lesser degree than a single impact.

Choice behavior on this assay is guided in part by the mPFC, which exhibits robust neural activity during periods of anticipation and subsequent interpretation of risk-related outcomes [\[92](#page-13-0)–94]. Following decisions, the mPFC updates value representations based on changes in reward probabilities to facilitate more efficient choices [\[55,56,87\]](#page-12-0).

Choice Latencies

Fig. 4. Choice latencies across four weeks post-final surgery. Line graphs represent choice latencies across five trial blocks. When males and females were combined for analysis (purple graph), no differences in choice latencies were found between sham $(n = 22)$, single (smTBI; $n = 19$), or repetitive (rmTBI; $n = 20$) injury groups in weeks 1–4 post-surgery. Insets display overall male (green; $n = 31$) vs. female (orange; $n = 30$) choice latencies across all trial blocks. Across all 4 weeks, females demonstrated slower choice latencies compared to males. Symbols represent mean ± SEM. * denotes p *<* 0.05.

Inactivation of the PFC increases risky choices on the PDT [\[55\]](#page-12-0) when reward probabilities decrease over a session, and also increases risk taking on other tasks [\[95,96\]](#page-13-0). The medial OFC (mOFC) has also been shown to exert influence over choice behavior through assessing reward values [\[48,97](#page-12-0)–101] and mitigating the impact that large/probabilistic rewards exert on subsequent decisions [\[45,57\].](#page-12-0) Similar to the mPFC, inactivation of the mOFC in rodents leads to increased risky choice in the PDT [\[57\].](#page-12-0) During a probabilistic reversal learning task, disruption of mOFC activity impairs the ability to incorporate information from previous outcomes to guide subsequent actions [\[102\]](#page-13-0). TBI patients with both mPFC and mOFC damage demonstrate difficulty learning from previous mistakes, insensitivity to future consequence of risk-related choices, and overall increased risky behavior [18–[20\]](#page-12-0). Based on these previous observations, it is likely that our findings of increased risky choice induced by mTBI are related to disruptions in mPFC and mOFC operations.

When choice latencies were examined, we found that males, were generally quicker to make choices than females in the PDT regardless of injury condition. Latencies to retrieve rewards, however, were not different between males and females, indicating these differences in choice latencies were not due to differences in motivation levels. A more detailed analysis of choice latencies partitioned by whether rats made risky or certain choices, revealed a delayed effect of mTBI in males that approached statistical significance at week 3, and was fully apparent by week 4 post-final injury. Here, males that received repetitive injury showed increased hesitation to make risky choices. A previous study found that inactivation of the lateral OFC (lOFC) in males led to increased choice latencies in the PDT [\[55\],](#page-12-0) suggesting that these effects of rmTBI in males may be lOFC-related. Notably, patients with OFC damage have also demonstrated longer deliberation times in risk/reward decision making tasks $[22,23]$. These longer response times have been attributed to TBI patients having difficulty resolving competing options associated with uncertain or probabilistic outcomes [\[23,103\]](#page-12-0).

As a result, these patients tend to make more disadvantageous choices when compared to non-injured individuals. Although these studies have focused primarily on single TBI cases, long-term deficits in information processing speed have been documented following repetitive TBIs [\[5,](#page-11-0) [14\].](#page-11-0) Our results are consistent with these studies; however, our data indicates that these effects on response times do not occur immediately after injury, but rather develop over time. This finding is significant given that clinical studies often struggle with determining the onset of individual TBI-induced effects. Currently, we can only report that these longer choice latencies appear to manifest by week 4 after injury. Further research will be necessary to determine the full duration of these effects.

Interestingly, females appeared to be relatively impervious to injuryinduced changes in choice latencies. It is known that males and females process reward-related information differently and use different strategies when making probabilistic-based decisions [\[101,104,105\].](#page-13-0) These differences in information processing can be attributed to sex differences in OFC activity during risk/reward decision making. Neuroimaging of the OFC during performance on the IGT found that males exhibit greater lOFC activity during task performance compared to females, while females exhibit mOFC-related activity during risk/reward decision making [\[106\].](#page-13-0) This would suggest that damage to the OFC may have a greater impact on lOFC-related processes, such as processing speed, in males than in females. Accordingly, one study that examined longitudinal patterns of decision making following pediatric TBI reported that injured males had difficulty processing information necessary to determine whether risks should be pursued, resulting in disadvantageous decisions, whereas females were still capable of making appropriate risk estimations [\[107\].](#page-13-0) Although these observations may explain why we did not observe changes in female choice latencies as a result of rmTBI, it is also possible that we are experiencing a ceiling effect with our female groups, making it difficult to detect potential changes in choice latencies. Future investigations are therefore needed to fully understand

Fig. 5. Choice latencies separated by choice type across four weeks post-final surgery. Bar graphs represent the averaged total percentage of choice latencies for trials that ended in either a risky or certain choice across all trial blocks. A) Males: No differences in choice latencies were found between sham (n = 11), single (smTBI; n = 10), or repetitive (rmTBI; n = 10) injury groups in weeks 1–2 post-final surgery. In week 3, there was a trend towards increased latencies in trials that ended in risky choices following mTBI. In week 4, this effect reached significance specifically in rmTBI animals. B) Females: No differences in choice latencies were found between sham $(n = 11)$, smTBI $(n = 9)$, or rmTBI $(n = 10)$ injury groups in weeks 1-4. Bars represent mean \pm SEM. * denotes $p < 0.05$ from shams analyzed with Dunnett's multiple comparisons tests.

Table 3

Effects of mTBI on Magazine Latency.

Magazine Latencies across four weeks post-final surgery. No differences in the latency to retrieve rewards were found between sham (males $n = 11$, females $n =$ 11), single (smTBI; males $n = 10$, females $n = 9$), or repetitive (rmTBI; males $n =$ 10, females $n = 10$) injury groups in weeks $1-4$ post-surgery. Values represent mean \pm SEM.

the sex-specific mechanisms underlying these differences following rmTBI.

The present study further investigated the effects of rmTBI on TH and NET protein levels within prefrontal regions that mediate risk/reward decision making processes. In the OFC, smTBI produced dramatic increases in TH within female, but not male, rats. While not statistically significant, rmTBI produced a roughly 90% increase in TH expression in females only. rmTBI also significantly reduced levels of NET in the OFC of both males and females. These results suggest that the OFC may be more susceptible to catecholamine dysregulation following repetitive mild head injuries.

Previous studies have demonstrated increased TH and decreased DAT within the PFC and striatum following experimental TBI [74,75,] [82\].](#page-13-0) During impact, mechanical forces result in neuronal hyperexcitability and the opening of calcium channels, which enhances the activity of TH [\[108,109\]](#page-13-0). This activation of TH accelerates catecholamine synthesis, leading to the accumulation of large amounts of DA and NE within the tissue. This "catecholamine storm" is typically short-lived, often occurring immediately after injury and lasting for up to twenty-four hours in some brain regions [\[72,73,110,111\].](#page-13-0) In areas such as the striatum, this dramatic increase is followed by a hypo-dopaminergic state, which has been observed as long as two weeks following experimental TBI [\[74\]](#page-13-0). In comparison, TBI induces a different pattern of alterations in post-injury catecholamine levels within the PFC. Increased TH activity and catecholamines levels have been observed in the mPFC of male rats up to two weeks following TBI [\[71\].](#page-13-0) At four weeks, the same study noted that TH activity within the mPFC was reduced, suggesting that the PFC might not follow the traditional pattern of a brief catecholamine storm followed by a lasting depression of catecholamine synthesis. In response to this sustained increase in DA and NE synthesis, downregulation of transporter expression may serve as a compensatory mechanism to prevent overaccumulation of intracellular catecholamines. Increased cytosolic DA, in particular, can result in oxidative stress, neurotoxicity, and eventual death of catecholaminergic cells [\[112](#page-13-0)–114].

Interestingly, rmTBI's effects on TH were less robust than those of smTBI. Catecholaminergic neurons exposed to repetitive injury may not

Tyrosine Hydroxylase Expression

Fig. 6. Male and female levels of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) in the A) medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC; n = 6–8 per injury group), B) anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; n = 6–7 per injury group), and C) orbitofrontal cortex (OFC; n = 7–8 per injury group). Graphs represent percent total protein levels at 48 hours post-final surgery. No differences in TH levels were found between sham, single (smTBI), or repetitive (rmTBI) injury groups in the mPFC or ACC of either sex. In the OFC, smTBI significantly increased TH levels in females only. Bars represent mean ± SEM. * denotes p *<* 0.05 from sham analyzed with Dunnett's Multiple Comparisons Tests.

Norepinephrine Transporter Expression

Fig. 7. Male and female levels of norepinephrine transporter (NET) in the A) medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC; n = 6–8 per injury group), B) anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; $n = 6-8$ per injury group), and C) orbitofrontal cortex (OFC; $n = 5-7$ per injury group). Graphs represent percent total protein levels at 48 hours post-final surgery. No differences in NET levels were found between sham, single (smTBI), or repetitive (rmTBI) injury groups in the mPFC or ACC of either sex. In the OFC, rmTBI significantly reduced NET levels in both males and females. Bars represent mean ± SEM. * denotes p *<* 0.05 from sham analyzed with Dunnett's Multiple Comparisons Tests.

have sufficient stores of DA and NE by the third impact to mount another storm of the same magnitude produced by the first injury. Nevertheless, this increased expression of TH at 48 hours post-injury suggests that the PFC continues to experience an elevated catecholaminergic state

following rmTBI, leading to a decrease in NET as a compensatory response to increased intracellular levels of DA and NE. This may also explain why there is a lack of change in NET levels following single injury. smTBI rats experienced only 48 hours of catecholaminergic

disruption before regulatory protein levels were assessed, whereas rmTBI rats experienced eight days of irregular catecholamine activity from the first injury to 48 hours post the final impact. Therefore, reduced NET may be both a time-dependent response to increased TH as well as a rmTBI-driven response to maintain catecholamine homeostasis following prolonged exposure to catecholamine instability. The lack of changes in male TH expression at 48 hours post mTBI could suggest that males experience a shorter duration of elevated TH that subsides prior to our selected timepoint. Following rmTBI, it is possible that while levels of TH are no longer elevated 48 hours post-injury, the TH-induced increases of DA and NE may still linger resulting in the observed decreases in NET expression in male rats.

The decrease in OFC NET expression induced by TBI would be expected to result in enhanced NE levels within this region. With this in mind, it is interesting to note that enhancing NE transmission with systemic treatment of the α 2 antagonist yohimbine increased risky choice in a manner comparable to the effects reported here, using a version of the PDT similar to that used in this study [\[85\].](#page-13-0) Although the specific neural locus where pharmacological enhancements in NE activity may promote risky choice remains to be clarified, the similarity of effects observed this previous study, and the current results allude to the possibility that abnormal increases in OFC NE transmission may contribute to alterations in risk/reward decision making induced by TBI.

The lack of protein changes in the mPFC and ACC were initially surprising, given that TBI-induced alterations in catecholaminergic activity have been reported in the mPFC [\[71\].](#page-13-0) One explanation could be that our current injury parameters may be sub-threshold for altering catecholamine regulation in these regions. The previously mentioned study of increased TH activity and levels of catecholamines in the mPFC was performed using an open skull CCI model [\[71\].](#page-13-0) Open-skull models of TBI often induce a more severe injury than a closed skull which can lead to increased detection of TBI-induced effects; however, these models are less suitable for repetitive TBI studies. Increasing our injury parameters to induce a stronger form of rmTBI may allow us to detect changes in TH and NET expression within these sub-regions. Nevertheless, this injury model has proved effective in revealing the susceptibility of the OFC to catecholamine dysregulation following TBI as well as the impact of rmTBI on PFC-related cognitive processes. Future studies will be designed to further evaluate these behavioral and neurochemical consequences, including the direct measurement of DA and NE levels at 48 hours post single and repetitive TBI.

5. Conclusion

Overall, we report that mTBI produces transient increases in risk preferences, which appear to be more heavily influenced by our female injury groups. While the effects of rmTBI are not as robust as those of a single impact, repetitive injury does appear to disrupt adjustments in choice biases in response to changes in the value of large/risky rewards. Additionally, we found that males are more likely to experience delayed disruptions in cost/benefit evaluations, resulting in longer deliberation periods when making risky choices. In practical terms, these pre-clinical findings suggest that individuals experiencing repetitive concussions should be made aware of tendencies toward risky choices following injury. In head injured males, longer deliberation times could by themselves be debilitating when faced with cost/benefit decisions. By evaluating specific subregions of the PFC, we determined that the OFC is more susceptible to catecholamine instability after rmTBI, a finding indicating that not all areas of the PFC contribute equally to the observed TBI-induced catecholamine imbalances. These various timedependent and sex-specific changes in risk/reward decision making and catecholamine regulation underscores the importance of evaluating both males and females in pre-clinical TBI studies. This work also demonstrates the effectiveness of combining this repetitive injury model with operant-based behavioral paradigms and procedures to analyze levels of regulatory proteins within select brain regions that mediate

specific behaviors of interest. Future research with this model will allow for further investigation into the underlying mechanisms responsible for these behavioral changes as well as for testing potential treatment strategies to alleviate rmTBI-induced cognitive deficits.

Funding

This study was supported by the New Jersey Commission on Brain Injury Research (NJCBIR) grants: CBIR20PIL004 to R.L.N., CBIR17-PIL007 and CBIR19IRG025 to B.D.W., Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs, the United States Department of Defense Traumatic Brain Injury and Physiological Health Research Program grant W81XWH-22–1–0616 to R.L.N. and W81XWH-22–1–0618 to B.D.W., and the Rowan University Osteopathic Heritage Foundation Endowment for Primary Care Research Award to R.L.N.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Christopher Knapp: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Software, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Eleni Papadopoulos:** Writing – review & editing, Investigation. **Jessica Loweth:** Writing – review & editing, Methodology. **Ramesh Raghupathi:** Writing – review & editing, Methodology. **Stan Floresco:** Writing – review & editing, Software, Methodology. **Barry Waterhouse:** Writing – review & editing. **Rachel Navarra:** Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Software, Project administration, Methodology, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Douglas Fox and Anuradha Krishnan for their technical assistance in this study. Fig. 1 schematic was created using BioRender.com (accessed on 12/07/2023).

Author disclosure statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- [1] [S. Ahmed, H. Venigalla, H.M. Mekala, S. Dar, M. Hassan, S. Ayub, Traumatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref1) [brain injury and neuropsychiatric complications, Indian J. Psychol. Med 39 \(2\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref1) [\(2017\) 114](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref1)–121.
- [2] [C.J. Bryan, T.A. Clemans, Repetitive traumatic brain injury, psychological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref2) [symptoms, and suicide risk in a clinical sample of deployed military personnel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref2) [JAMA Psychiatry 70 \(7\) \(2013\) 686](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref2)–691.
- [3] A.C. McKee, M.E. Robinson, Military-related traumatic brain injury and [neurodegeneration, Alzheimers Dement 10 \(3 Suppl\) \(2014\) S242](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref3)–S253.
- [4] [E.J. Pellman, D.C. Viano, I.R. Casson, A.M. Tucker, J.F. Waeckerle, J.W. Powell,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref4) [H. Feuer, Concussion in professional football: repeat injuries–part 4,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref4) [Neurosurgery 55 \(4\) \(2004\) 860](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref4)–873, discussion 873-6.
- [5] [S.B. Rosenbaum, M.L. Lipton, Embracing chaos: the scope and importance of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref5) [clinical and pathological heterogeneity in mTBI, Brain Imaging Behav. 6 \(2\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref5) [\(2012\) 255](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref5)–282.
- [6] [A.W. Selassie, D.A. Wilson, E.E. Pickelsimer, D.C. Voronca, N.R. Williams, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref6) [C. Edwards, Incidence of sport-related traumatic brain injury and risk factors of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref6) [severity: a population-based epidemiologic study, Ann. Epidemiol. 23 \(12\) \(2013\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref6) 750–[756](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref6).
- [7] [L.L. Saunders, A.W. Selassie, E.G. Hill, J.S. Nicholas, M.D. Horner, J.D. Corrigan,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref7) [D.T. Lackland, A population-based study of repetitive traumatic brain injury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref7) [among persons with traumatic brain injury, Brain Inj. 23 \(11\) \(2009\) 866](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref7)–872.
- [8] [E.M. Valera, A. Cao, O. Pasternak, M.E. Shenton, M. Kubicki, N. Makris, N. Adra,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref8) [White matter correlates of mild traumatic brain injuries in women subjected to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref8) [intimate-partner violence: a preliminary study, J. Neurotrauma 36 \(5\) \(2019\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref8) 661–[668](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref8).

C.P. Knapp et al.

- [9] [S.E. Wall, W.H. Williams, S. Cartwright-Hatton, T.P. Kelly, J. Murray, M. Murray,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref9) [A. Owen, M. Turner, Neuropsychological dysfunction following repeat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref9) [concussions in jockeys, J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 77 \(4\) \(2006\) 518](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref9)–520.
- [10] [H. Zetterberg, B. Winblad, C. Bernick, K. Yaffe, M. Majdan, G. Johansson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref10) [V. Newcombe, L. Nyberg, D. Sharp, O. Tenovuo, K. Blennow, Head trauma in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref10) [sports - clinical characteristics, epidemiology and biomarkers, J. Intern Med 285](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref10) [\(6\) \(2019\) 624](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref10)–634.
- [11] G. Zieman, A. Bridwell, J.F. Cárdenas, Traumatic brain injury in domestic [violence victims: a retrospective study at the barrow neurological institute,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref11) [J. Neurotrauma 34 \(4\) \(2017\) 876](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref11)–880.
- [12] C. Cancelliere, C.A. Hincapié, M. Keightley, A.K. Godbolt, P. Côté, V.L. Kristman, [B.M. Stålnacke, L.J. Carroll, R. Hung, J. Borg, C. Nygren-de Boussard, V.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref12) [G. Coronado, J. Donovan, J.D. Cassidy, Systematic review of prognosis and return](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref12) [to play after sport concussion: results of the International Collaboration on Mild](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref12) [Traumatic Brain Injury Prognosis, Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 95 \(3 Suppl\) \(2014\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref12) S210–[S229.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref12)
- [13] [J.M. Avedesian, T. Covassin, S. Baez, J. Nash, E. Nagelhout, J.S. Dufek,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref13) [Relationship between cognitive performance and lower extremity biomechanics:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref13) [implications for sports-related concussion, Orthop. J. Sports Med 9 \(8\) \(2021\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref13) [23259671211032246](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref13).
- [14] [M.W. Collins, S.H. Grindel, M.R. Lovell, D.E. Dede, D.J. Moser, B.R. Phalin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref14) [S. Nogle, M. Wasik, D. Cordry, K.M. Daugherty, S.F. Sears, G. Nicolette,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref14) [P. Indelicato, D.B. McKeag, Relationship between concussion and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref14) [neuropsychological performance in college football players, Jama 282 \(10\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref14) [\(1999\) 964](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref14)–970.
- [15] [L. De Beaumont, B. Brisson, M. Lassonde, P. Jolicoeur, Long-term](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref15) [electrophysiological changes in athletes with a history of multiple concussions,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref15) [Brain Inj. 21 \(6\) \(2007\) 631](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref15)–644.
- [16] [T.W. McAllister, Neurobiological consequences of traumatic brain injury, Dialog-.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref16) [Clin. Neurosci. 13 \(3\) \(2011\) 287](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref16)–300.
- [17] [E.D. Bigler, Distinguished neuropsychologist award lecture 1999. The lesion\(s\) in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref17) [traumatic brain injury: implications for clinical neuropsychology, Arch. Clin.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref17) [Neuropsychol. 16 \(2\) \(2001\) 95](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref17)–131.
- [18] [A. Bechara, A.R. Damasio, H. Damasio, S.W. Anderson, Insensitivity to future](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref18) [consequences following damage to human prefrontal cortex, Cognition 50 \(1-3\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref18) [\(1994\) 7](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref18)–15.
- [19] [A. Bechara, H. Damasio, D. Tranel, S.W. Anderson, Dissociation Of working](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref19) [memory from decision making within the human prefrontal cortex, J. Neurosci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref19) [18 \(1\) \(1998\) 428](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref19)–437.
- [20] A. Bechara, D. Tranel, H. Damasio, Characterization of the decision-making [deficit of patients with ventromedial prefrontal cortex lesions, Brain 123 \(Pt 11\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref20) [\(2000\) 2189](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref20)–2202.
- [21] [B. Levine, S.E. Black, G. Cheung, A. Campbell, C. O](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref21)'Toole, M.L. Schwartz, [Gambling task performance in traumatic brain injury: relationships to injury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref21) [severity, atrophy, lesion location, and cognitive and psychosocial outcome, Cogn.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref21) [Behav. Neurol. 18 \(1\) \(2005\) 45](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref21)–54.
- [22] [F. Manes, B. Sahakian, L. Clark, R. Rogers, N. Antoun, M. Aitken, T. Robbins,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref22) [Decision-making processes following damage to the prefrontal cortex, Brain 125](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref22) [\(Pt 3\) \(2002\) 624](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref22)–639.
- [23] [R.D. Rogers, B.J. Everitt, A. Baldacchino, A.J. Blackshaw, R. Swainson, K. Wynne,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref23) [N.B. Baker, J. Hunter, T. Carthy, E. Booker, M. London, J.F. Deakin, B.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref23) [J. Sahakian, T.W. Robbins, Dissociable deficits in the decision-making cognition](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref23) [of chronic amphetamine abusers, opiate abusers, patients with focal damage to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref23) [prefrontal cortex, and tryptophan-depleted normal volunteers: evidence for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref23) [monoaminergic mechanisms, Neuropsychopharmacology 20 \(4\) \(1999\) 322](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref23)–339.
- [24] [N.A. Al Shidhani, A.A. Al Kendi, M.H. Al, Kiyumi, Prevalence, Risk Factors and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref24) [Effects of Domestic Violence Before and During Pregnancy on Birth Outcomes: an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref24) [observational study of literate omani women, Int J. Women](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref24)'s. Health 12 (2020) 911–[925.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref24)
- [25] [B.A. Bailey, R.A. Daugherty, Intimate partner violence during pregnancy:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref25) [incidence and associated health behaviors in a rural population, Matern Child](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref25) [Health J. 11 \(5\) \(2007\) 495](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref25)–503.
- [26] [B.A. Bailey, Partner violence during pregnancy: prevalence, effects, screening,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref26) [and management, Int J. Women](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref26)'s. Health 2 (2010) 183–197.
- [27] [K. Berz, J. Divine, K.B. Foss, R. Heyl, K.R. Ford, G.D. Myer, Sex-specific](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref27) [differences in the severity of symptoms and recovery rate following sports-related](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref27) [concussion in young athletes, Phys. Sport. 41 \(2\) \(2013\) 58](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref27)–63.
- [28] [D.K. Broshek, T. Kaushik, J.R. Freeman, D. Erlanger, F. Webbe, J.T. Barth, Sex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref28) [differences in outcome following sports-related concussion, J. Neurosurg. 102 \(5\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref28) [\(2005\) 856](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref28)–863.
- [29] [J. Cheng, B. Ammerman, K. Santiago, B. Jivanelli, E. Lin, E. Casey, D. Ling, Sex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref29)[based differences in the incidence of sports-related concussion: systematic review](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref29) [and meta-analysis, Sports Health 11 \(6\) \(2019\) 486](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref29)–491.
- [30] [T. Covassin, R. Moran, R.J. Elbin, Sex Differences in Reported Concussion Injury](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref30) [Rates and Time Loss From Participation: an update of the National Collegiate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref30) [Athletic Association Injury Surveillance Program From 2004-2005 Through 2008-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref30) [2009, J. Athl. Train. 51 \(3\) \(2016\) 189](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref30)–194.
- [31] [J.C. Campbell, J.C. Anderson, A. McFadgion, J. Gill, E. Zink, M. Patch,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref31) [G. Callwood, D. Campbell, The effects of intimate partner violence and probable](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref31) [traumatic brain injury on central nervous system symptoms, J. Women](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref31)'s. Health [\(Larchmt. \) 27 \(6\) \(2018\) 761](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref31)–767.
- [32] [J.D. Corrigan, M. Wolfe, W.J. Mysiw, R.D. Jackson, J.A. Bogner, Early](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref32) [identification of mild traumatic brain injury in female victims of domestic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref32) [violence, Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 188 \(5 Suppl\) \(2003\) S71](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref32)–S76.
- [33] [R. Gupte, W. Brooks, R. Vukas, J. Pierce, J. Harris, Sex differences in traumatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref33) [brain injury: what we know and what we should know, J. Neurotrauma 36 \(22\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref33) [\(2019\) 3063](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref33)–3091.
- [34] [H.L. Haag, D. Jones, T. Joseph, A. Colantonio, Battered and Brain Injured:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref34) [traumatic brain injury among women survivors of intimate partner violence-a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref34) [scoping review, Trauma Violence Abus. 23 \(4\) \(2022\) 1270](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref34)–1287.
- [35] [J.E. Meyer, V. Jammula, P.A. Arnett, Head Trauma in a Community-Based Sample](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref35) [of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence: prevalence, mechanisms of injury and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref35) [symptom presentation, J. Inter. Violence \(2021\), 8862605211016362.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref35)
- [36] A. St Ivany, D. Schminkey, Intimate partner violence and traumatic brain injury: [state of the science and next steps, Fam. Community Health 39 \(2\) \(2016\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref36) 129–[137.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref36)
- [37] [D.E. Stewart, A. Cecutti, Physical abuse in pregnancy, Cmaj 149 \(9\) \(1993\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref37) [1257](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref37)–1263.
- [38] [E. Farace, W.M. Alves, Do women fare worse: a metaanalysis of gender](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref38) [differences in traumatic brain injury outcome, J. Neurosurg. 93 \(4\) \(2000\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref38) 539–[545.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref38)
- [39] [C.J. Kirkness, R.L. Burr, P.H. Mitchell, D.W. Newell, Is there a sex difference in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref39) [the course following traumatic brain injury? Biol. Res Nurs. 5 \(4\) \(2004\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref39) 299–[310.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref39)
- [40] [C. Ma, X. Wu, X. Shen, Y. Yang, Z. Chen, X. Sun, Z. Wang, Sex differences in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref40) [traumatic brain injury: a multi-dimensional exploration in genes, hormones,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref40) [Cells, Individ., Soc., Chin. Neurosurg. J. 5 \(2019\) 24](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref40).
- [41] [J.J. Bazarian, T. Wong, M. Harris, N. Leahey, S. Mookerjee, M. Dombovy,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref41) [Epidemiology and predictors of post-concussive syndrome after minor head](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref41) [injury in an emergency population, Brain Inj. 13 \(3\) \(1999\) 173](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref41)–189.
- [42] [J.J. Bazarian, B. Blyth, S. Mookerjee, H. He, M.P. McDermott, Sex differences in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref42) [outcome after mild traumatic brain injury, J. Neurotrauma 27 \(3\) \(2010\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref42) 527–[539.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref42)
- [43] Y. Broche-Pérez, L.F. Herrera Jiménez, E. Omar-Martínez, Neural substrates of [decision-making, Neurologia 31 \(5\) \(2016\) 319](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref43)–325.
- [44] [K.A. Hadland, M.F. Rushworth, D. Gaffan, R.E. Passingham, The anterior](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref44) [cingulate and reward-guided selection of actions, J. Neurophysiol. 89 \(2\) \(2003\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref44) [1161](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref44)–1164.
- [45] [N.L. Jenni, Y.T. Li, S.B. Floresco, Medial orbitofrontal cortex dopamine D\(1\)/D\(2\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref45) [receptors differentially modulate distinct forms of probabilistic decision-making,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref45) [Neuropsychopharmacology 46 \(7\) \(2021\) 1240](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref45)–1251.
- [46] [D.C. Krawczyk, Contributions of the prefrontal cortex to the neural basis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref46) [human decision making, Neurosci. Biobehav Rev. 26 \(6\) \(2002\) 631](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref46)–664.
- K. Labudda, M. Brand, M. Mertens, A. Ebner, H.J. Markowitsch, F.G. Woermann, [Alterations of decision making and underlying neural correlates after resection of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref47) [a mediofrontal cortical dysplasia: a single case study, Neurocase 16 \(1\) \(2010\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref47) 59–[73.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref47)
- [48] J. O'[Doherty, M.L. Kringelbach, E.T. Rolls, J. Hornak, C. Andrews, Abstract](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref48) [reward and punishment representations in the human orbitofrontal cortex, Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref48) [Neurosci. 4 \(1\) \(2001\) 95](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref48)–102.
- [49] [R.D. Rogers, A.M. Owen, H.C. Middleton, E.J. Williams, J.D. Pickard, B.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref49) [J. Sahakian, T.W. Robbins, Choosing between small, likely rewards and large,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref49) unlikely rewards activates inferior and orbital prefrontal cortex, J. Neurosci. 19 [\(20\) \(1999\) 9029](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref49)–9038.
- [50] [R.D. Rogers, N. Ramnani, C. Mackay, J.L. Wilson, P. Jezzard, C.S. Carter, S.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref50) [M. Smith, Distinct portions of anterior cingulate cortex and medial prefrontal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref50) [cortex are activated by reward processing in separable phases of decision-making](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref50) [cognition, Biol. Psychiatry 55 \(6\) \(2004\) 594](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref50)–602.
- [51] [E.T. Rolls, The functions of the orbitofrontal cortex, Brain Cogn. 55 \(1\) \(2004\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref51) 11–[29.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref51)
- [52] [M.F. Rushworth, M.E. Walton, S.W. Kennerley, D.M. Bannerman, Action sets and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref52) [decisions in the medial frontal cortex, Trends Cogn. Sci. 8 \(9\) \(2004\) 410](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref52)–417.
- [53] [J. Schweimer, W. Hauber, Involvement of the rat anterior cingulate cortex in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref53) [control of instrumental responses guided by reward expectancy, Learn Mem. 12](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref53) [\(3\) \(2005\) 334](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref53)–342.
- [54] [J.K. Seamans, C.C. Lapish, D. Durstewitz, Comparing the prefrontal cortex of rats](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref54) [and primates: insights from electrophysiology, Neurotox. Res 14 \(2-3\) \(2008\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref54) 249–[262.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref54)
- [55] [J.R. St Onge, S.B. Floresco, Prefrontal cortical contribution to risk-based decision](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref55) [making, Cereb. Cortex 20 \(8\) \(2010\) 1816](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref55)–1828.
- [56] [J.R. St Onge, H. Abhari, S.B. Floresco, Dissociable contributions by prefrontal D1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref56) [and D2 receptors to risk-based decision making, J. Neurosci. 31 \(23\) \(2011\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref56) [8625](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref56)–8633.
- [57] [C.M. Stopper, E.B. Green, S.B. Floresco, Selective involvement by the medial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref57) [orbitofrontal cortex in biasing risky, but not impulsive, choice, Cereb. Cortex 24](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref57) [\(1\) \(2014\) 154](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref57)–162.
- [58] [J.L. Muir, B.J. Everitt, T.W. Robbins, The cerebral cortex of the rat and visual](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref58) [attentional function: dissociable effects of mediofrontal, cingulate, anterior](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref58) [dorsolateral, and parietal cortex lesions on a five-choice serial reaction time task,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref58) [Cereb. Cortex 6 \(3\) \(1996\) 470](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref58)–481.
- [59] [C.W. Berridge, B.D. Waterhouse, The locus coeruleus-noradrenergic system:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref59) [modulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes, Brain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref59) [Res. Brain Res. Rev. 42 \(1\) \(2003\) 33](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref59)–84.
- [60] A. Björklund, [S.B. Dunnett, Dopamine neuron systems in the brain: an update,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref60) [Trends Neurosci. 30 \(5\) \(2007\) 194](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref60)–202.
- [61] [J.C. Cerpa, A.R. Marchand, E. Coutureau, Distinct regional patterns in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref61) [noradrenergic innervation of the rat prefrontal cortex, J. Chem. Neuroanat. 96](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref61) [\(2019\) 102](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref61)–109.
- [62] [D. Chandler, B.D. Waterhouse, Evidence for broad versus segregated projections](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref62) [from cholinergic and noradrenergic nuclei to functionally and anatomically](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref62) [discrete subregions of prefrontal cortex, Front Behav. Neurosci. 6 \(2012\) 20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref62).
- [63] [B.K.H. Chau, H. Jarvis, C.K. Law, T.T. Chong, Dopamine and reward: a view from](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref63) [the prefrontal cortex, Behav. Pharm. 29 \(7\) \(2018\) 569](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref63)–583.
- [64] [A. Kalsbeek, P. Voorn, R.M. Buijs, C.W. Pool, H.B. Uylings, Development of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref64) [dopaminergic innervation in the prefrontal cortex of the rat, J. Comp. Neurol. 269](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref64) [\(1\) \(1988\) 58](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref64)–72.
- [65] [C.W. Berridge, D.M. Devilbiss, M.E. Andrzejewski, A.F. Arnsten, A.E. Kelley,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref65) [B. Schmeichel, C. Hamilton, R.C. Spencer, Methylphenidate preferentially](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref65) [increases catecholamine neurotransmission within the prefrontal cortex at low](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref65) [doses that enhance cognitive function, Biol. Psychiatry 60 \(10\) \(2006\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref65) [1111](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref65)–1120.
- [66] [C.W. Berridge, D.M. Devilbiss, Psychostimulants as cognitive enhancers: the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref66) [prefrontal cortex, catecholamines, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref66) [Biol. Psychiatry 69 \(12\) \(2011\) e101](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref66)–e111.
- [67] [F.P. Bymaster, J.S. Katner, D.L. Nelson, S.K. Hemrick-Luecke, P.G. Threlkeld, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref67) [H. Heiligenstein, S.M. Morin, D.R. Gehlert, K.W. Perry, Atomoxetine increases](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref67) [extracellular levels of norepinephrine and dopamine in prefrontal cortex of rat: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref67) [potential mechanism for efficacy in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref67) [Neuropsychopharmacology 27 \(5\) \(2002\) 699](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref67)–711.
- [68] [D.M. Devilbiss, C.W. Berridge, Cognition-enhancing doses of methylphenidate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref68) [preferentially increase prefrontal cortex neuronal responsiveness, Biol. Psychiatry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref68) [64 \(7\) \(2008\) 626](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref68)–635.
- [69] [R.L. Navarra, B.D. Clark, A.T. Gargiulo, B.D. Waterhouse, Methylphenidate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref69) [enhances early-stage sensory processing and rodent performance of a visual](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref69) [signal detection task, Neuropsychopharmacology 42 \(6\) \(2017\) 1326](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref69)–1337. [70] [R.C. Spencer, D.M. Devilbiss, C.W. Berridge, The cognition-enhancing effects of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref70)
- [psychostimulants involve direct action in the prefrontal cortex, Biol. Psychiatry](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref70) [77 \(11\) \(2015\) 940](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref70)–950.
- [71] [N. Kobori, G.L. Clifton, P.K. Dash, Enhanced catecholamine synthesis in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref71) [prefrontal cortex after traumatic brain injury: implications for prefrontal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref71) [dysfunction, J. Neurotrauma 23 \(7\) \(2006\) 1094](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref71)–1102.
- [72] [J.L. Massucci, A.E. Kline, X. Ma, R.D. Zafonte, C.E. Dixon, Time dependent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref72) [alterations in dopamine tissue levels and metabolism after experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref72) [traumatic brain injury in rats, Neurosci. Lett. 372 \(1-2\) \(2004\) 127](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref72)–131.
- [73] [F. Huger, G. Patrick, Effect of concussive head injury on central catecholamine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref73) [levels and synthesis rates in rat brain regions, J. Neurochem. 33 \(1\) \(1979\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref73) 89–[95.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref73)
- [74] [A.K. Wagner, J.E. Sokoloski, D. Ren, X. Chen, A.S. Khan, R.D. Zafonte, A.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref74) [C. Michael, C.E. Dixon, Controlled cortical impact injury affects dopaminergic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref74) [transmission in the rat striatum, J. Neurochem 95 \(2\) \(2005\) 457](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref74)–465.
- [75] [H.Q. Yan, A.E. Kline, X. Ma, E.L. Hooghe-Peters, D.W. Marion, C.E. Dixon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref75) [Tyrosine hydroxylase, but not dopamine beta-hydroxylase, is increased in rat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref75) [frontal cortex after traumatic brain injury, Neuroreport 12 \(11\) \(2001\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref75) [2323](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref75)–2327.
- [76] [H.Q. Yan, X. Ma, X. Chen, Y. Li, L. Shao, C.E. Dixon, Delayed increase of tyrosine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref76) [hydroxylase expression in rat nigrostriatal system after traumatic brain injury,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref76) [Brain Res. 1134 \(1\) \(\) \(2007\) 171](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref76)–179.
- [77] [E. Carboni, G.L. Tanda, R. Frau, G. Di, Chiara, Blockade of the noradrenaline](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref77) [carrier increases extracellular dopamine concentrations in the prefrontal cortex:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref77) [evidence that dopamine is taken up in vivo by noradrenergic terminals,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref77) [J. Neurochem 55 \(3\) \(1990\) 1067](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref77)–1070.
- [78] J.A. Morón, A. Brockington, R.A. Wise, B.A. Rocha, B.T. Hope, Dopamine uptake [through the norepinephrine transporter in brain regions with low levels of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref78) [dopamine transporter: evidence from knock-out mouse lines, J. Neurosci. 22 \(2\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref78) [\(2002\) 389](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref78)–395.
- [79] [S.R. Sesack, V.A. Hawrylak, C. Matus, M.A. Guido, A.I. Levey, Dopamine axon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref79) [varicosities in the prelimbic division of the rat prefrontal cortex exhibit sparse](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref79) [immunoreactivity for the dopamine transporter, J. Neurosci. 18 \(7\) \(1998\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref79) [2697](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref79)–2708.
- [80] [A.K. Wagner, X. Chen, A.E. Kline, Y. Li, R.D. Zafonte, C.E. Dixon, Gender and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref80) [environmental enrichment impact dopamine transporter expression after](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref80) [experimental traumatic brain injury, Exp. Neurol. 195 \(2\) \(2005\) 475](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref80)–483.
- [81] [M.S. Wilson, X. Chen, X. Ma, D. Ren, A.K. Wagner, I.J. Reynolds, C.E. Dixon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref81) [Synaptosomal dopamine uptake in rat striatum following controlled cortical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref81) [impact, J. Neurosci. Res. 80 \(1\) \(2005\) 85](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref81)–91.
- [82] [H.Q. Yan, A.E. Kline, X. Ma, Y. Li, C.E. Dixon, Traumatic brain injury reduces](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref82) [dopamine transporter protein expression in the rat frontal cortex, Neuroreport 13](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref82) [\(15\) \(2002\) 1899](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref82)–1901.
- [83] [J.R. St Onge, S.B. Floresco, Dopaminergic modulation of risk-based decision](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref83) [making, Neuropsychopharmacology 34 \(3\) \(2009\) 681](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref83)–697.
- [84] [S.B. Floresco, J.M. Whelan, Perturbations in different forms of cost/benefit](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref84) [decision making induced by repeated amphetamine exposure, Psychopharmacol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref84) [\(Berl. \) 205 \(2\) \(2009\) 189](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref84)–201.
- [85] [D.R. Montes, C.M. Stopper, S.B. Floresco, Noradrenergic modulation of risk/](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref85) [reward decision making, Psychopharmacology 232 \(15\) \(2015\) 2681](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref85)–2696.
- [86] [J.R. St Onge, Y.C. Chiu, S.B. Floresco, Differential effects of dopaminergic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref86) [manipulations on risky choice, Psychopharmacol. \(Berl. \) 211 \(2\) \(2010\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref86) 209–[221.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref86)
- [87] [D.A. Bercovici, O. Princz-Lebel, J.D. Schumacher, V.M. Lo, S.B. Floresco,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref87) [Temporal dynamics underlying prelimbic prefrontal cortical regulation of action](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref87) [selection and outcome evaluation during risk/reward decision-making,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref87) [J. Neurosci. 43 \(7\) \(2023\) 1238](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref87)–1255.
- [88] [G. Paxinos, C. Watson. The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, 6th Edition,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref88) [Academic Press, San Diego, 2007.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref88)
- [89] C.R. Ferrario, J.A. Loweth, M. Milovanovic, K.A. Ford, G.L. Galiñanes, L.J. Heng, [K.Y. Tseng, M.E. Wolf, Alterations in AMPA receptor subunits and TARPs in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref89) rat nucleus accumbens related to the formation of $Ca²⁺$ -permeable AMPA [receptors during the incubation of cocaine craving, Neuropharmacology 61 \(7\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref89) [\(2011\) 1141](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref89)–1151.
- [90] [J.A. Loweth, A.F. Scheyer, M. Milovanovic, A.L. LaCrosse, E. Flores-Barrera, C.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref90) [T. Werner, X. Li, K.A. Ford, T. Le, M.F. Olive, K.K. Szumlinski, K.Y. Tseng, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref90) [E. Wolf, Synaptic depression via mGluR1 positive allosteric modulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref90) [suppresses cue-induced cocaine craving, Nat. Neurosci. 17 \(1\) \(2014\) 73](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref90)–80.
- [91] [J.A. Loweth, B.F. Singer, L.K. Baker, G. Wilke, H. Inamine, N. Bubula, J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref91) [K. Alexander, W.A. Carlezon Jr., R.L. Neve, P. Vezina, Transient overexpression of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref91) alpha-Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II in the nucleus accumben [shell enhances behavioral responding to amphetamine, J. Neurosci. 30 \(3\) \(2010\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref91) 939–[949.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref91)
- [92] [H. Fukui, T. Murai, H. Fukuyama, T. Hayashi, T. Hanakawa, Functional activity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref92) [related to risk anticipation during performance of the Iowa Gambling Task,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref92) [Neuroimage 24 \(1\) \(2005\) 253](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref92)–259.
- [93] [L.K. Fellows, Advances in understanding ventromedial prefrontal function: the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref93) [accountant joins the executive, Neurology 68 \(13\) \(2007\) 991](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref93)–995.
- D.R. Euston, A.J. Gruber, B.L. McNaughton, The role of medial prefrontal cortex [in memory and decision making, Neuron 76 \(6\) \(2012\) 1057](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref94)–1070.
- [95] [T.A. Paine, S.K. Asinof, G.W. Diehl, A. Frackman, J. Leffler, Medial prefrontal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref95) [cortex lesions impair decision-making on a rodent gambling task: reversal by D1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref95) [receptor antagonist administration, Behav. Brain Res. 243 \(2013\) 247](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref95)–254.
- [96] [F.D. Zeeb, P.J. Baarendse, L.J. Vanderschuren, C.A. Winstanley, Inactivation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref96) [the prelimbic or infralimbic cortex impairs decision-making in the rat gambling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref96) [task, Psychopharmacology 232 \(24\) \(2015\) 4481](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref96)–4491.
- [97] [B. Adinoff, M.J. Williams, S.E. Best, T.S. Harris, P. Chandler, M.D. Devous, Sr.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref97) [Sex differences in medial and lateral orbitofrontal cortex hypoperfusion in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref97) [cocaine-dependent men and women, Gend. Med. 3 \(3\) \(2006\) 206](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref97)–222.
- [98] [R. Elliott, R.J. Dolan, C.D. Frith, Dissociable functions in the medial and lateral](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref98) [orbitofrontal cortex: evidence from human neuroimaging studies, Cereb. Cortex](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref98) [10 \(3\) \(2000\) 308](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref98)–317.
- [99] [M.F. Rushworth, M.P. Noonan, E.D. Boorman, M.E. Walton, T.E. Behrens, Frontal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref99) [cortex and reward-guided learning and decision-making, Neuron 70 \(6\) \(2011\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref99) [1054](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref99)–1069.
- [100] G. Sescousse, J. Redouté, J.C. Dreher, The architecture of reward value coding in [the human orbitofrontal cortex, J. Neurosci. 30 \(39\) \(2010\) 13095](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref100)–13104.
- [101] [R. van den Bos, J. Homberg, L. de Visser, A critical review of sex differences in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref101) [decision-making tasks: focus on the Iowa Gambling Task, Behav. Brain Res 238](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref101) [\(2013\) 95](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref101)–108.
- [102] [G.L. Dalton, N.Y. Wang, A.G. Phillips, S.B. Floresco, Multifaceted contributions by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref102) [different regions of the orbitofrontal and medial prefrontal cortex to probabilistic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref102) [reversal learning, J. Neurosci. 36 \(6\) \(2016\) 1996](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref102)–2006.
- [103] [C.H. Salmond, D.K. Menon, D.A. Chatfield, J.D. Pickard, B.J. Sahakian, Deficits in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref103) [decision-making in head injury survivors, J. Neurotrauma 22 \(6\) \(2005\) 613](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref103)–622.
- [104] [C.A. Orsini, B. Setlow, Sex differences in animal models of decision making,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref104) [J. Neurosci. Res 95 \(1-2\) \(2017\) 260](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref104)–269.
- [105] [R. van den Bos, J. Jolles, L. van der Knaap, A. Baars, L. de Visser, Male and female](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref105) [Wistar rats differ in decision-making performance in a rodent version of the Iowa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref105) [Gambling Task, Behav. Brain Res. 234 \(2\) \(2012\) 375](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref105)–379.
- [106] [K.I. Bolla, D.A. Eldreth, J.A. Matochik, J.L. Cadet, Sex-related differences in a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref106) [gambling task and its neurological correlates, Cereb. Cortex 14 \(11\) \(2004\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref106) 1226–[1232.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref106)
- [107] [A.T. Schmidt, G.R. Hanten, X. Li, A.C. Vasquez, E.A. Wilde, S.B. Chapman, H.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref107) [S. Levin, Decision making after pediatric traumatic brain injury: trajectory of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref107) [recovery and relationship to age and gender, Int J. Dev. Neurosci. 30 \(3\) \(2012\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref107) [225](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref107)–230.
- [108] [Y.L. Lan, S. Li, J.C. Lou, X.C. Ma, B. Zhang, The potential roles of dopamine in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref108) [traumatic brain injury: a preclinical and clinical update, Am. J. Transl. Res 11 \(5\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref108) [\(2019\) 2616](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref108)–2631.
- [109] [G. Louin, V.C. Besson, N.C. Royo, D. Bonnefont-Rousselot, C. Marchand-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref109)[Verrecchia, M. Plotkine, M. Jafarian-Tehrani, Cortical calcium increase following](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref109) [traumatic brain injury represents a pitfall in the evaluation of Ca2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref109)+-independent [NOS activity, J. Neurosci. Methods 138 \(1-2\) \(2004\) 73](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref109)–79.
- [110] L. Kawa, U.P. Arborelius, T. Yoshitake, J. Kehr, T. Hökfelt, M. Risling, D. Agoston, [Neurotransmitter systems in a mild blast traumatic brain injury model:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref110) [catecholamines and serotonin, J. Neurotrauma 32 \(16\) \(2015\) 1190](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref110)–1199.
- [111] [T.K. McIntosh, T. Yu, T.A. Gennarelli, Alterations in regional brain catecholamine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref111) [concentrations after experimental brain injury in the rat, J. Neurochem 63 \(4\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref111) [\(1994\) 1426](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref111)–1433.
- [112] [D.G. Graham, S.M. Tiffany, W.R. Bell Jr., W.F. Gutknecht, Autoxidation versus](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref112) [covalent binding of quinones as the mechanism of toxicity of dopamine, 6](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref112) [hydroxydopamine, and related compounds toward C1300 neuroblastoma cells in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref112) [vitro, Mol. Pharm. 14 \(4\) \(1978\) 644](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref112)–653.
- [113] [S.T. Masoud, L.M. Vecchio, Y. Bergeron, M.M. Hossain, L.T. Nguyen, M.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref113) [K. Bermejo, B. Kile, T.D. Sotnikova, W.B. Siesser, R.R. Gainetdinov, R.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref113) [M. Wightman, M.G. Caron, J.R. Richardson, G.W. Miller, A.J. Ramsey, M. Cyr,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref113) [A. Salahpour, Increased expression of the dopamine transporter leads to loss of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref113) [dopamine neurons, oxidative stress and l-DOPA reversible motor deficits,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref113) [Neurobiol. Dis. 74 \(2015\) 66](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref113)–75.
- [114] [E.V. Mosharov, K.E. Larsen, E. Kanter, K.A. Phillips, K. Wilson, Y. Schmitz, D.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref114) [E. Krantz, K. Kobayashi, R.H. Edwards, D. Sulzer, Interplay between cytosolic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref114) [dopamine, calcium, and alpha-synuclein causes selective death of substantia nigra](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref114) [neurons, Neuron 62 \(2\) \(2009\) 218](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-4328(24)00158-X/sbref114)–229.